

Regarding antidepressant-induced mania, two studies comparing antidepressants without mood stabilizer to no treatment (placebo only) reported no mania in any patients: an oddity, if true, since it would suggest that even spontaneous mania did not occur while those patients were studied or that perhaps manic symptoms were not adequately assessed. As described, another study preferentially prescribed lithium more in the antidepressant group (3), providing possibly unequal protection against mania. Although the olanzapine-fluoxetine data suggest no evidence of switching while using antipsychotics, in our reanalysis of the lithium-plus-paroxetine (or imipramine) study, there was a threefold higher manic switching rate with imipramine versus placebo (risk ratio=3.14), with asymmetrically positively skewed confidence intervals (0.34–29.0). Combined with other studies reviewed that showed higher tricyclic antidepressant switching rates than other antidepressants, attention to this heterogeneity suggests that one cannot rule out antidepressant switching.

Finally, these short-term (up to 10 weeks) studies are only relevant, if at all, to the acute depressive episode. Contrary to the highly selective review in the discussion, they do not provide any evidence to support long-term maintenance use of antidepressants in bipolar disorder, which was previously shown ineffective in multiple randomized clinical trials in a systematic review (5).

In summary, our critique touches partly on the validity of this meta-analysis, but more importantly, on its generalizability due to unexplored heterogeneity. Apparent agreement among studies hides major conflicting results between the only adequately designed study using the most proven mood stabilizer, lithium, and the rest. It would appear that the rosy conclusions of the meta-analysis are premature when the clinical options involve use of proven mood stabilizers, such as lithium, with or without antidepressants.

References

1. Gijsman HJ, Geddes JR, Rendell JM, Nolen WA, Goodwin GM: Antidepressants for bipolar depression: a systematic review of randomized, controlled trials. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161: 1537–1547
2. Nemeroff CB, Evans DL, Gyulai L, Sachs GS, Bowden CL, Gergel IP, Oakes R, Pitts CD: Double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison of imipramine and paroxetine in the treatment of bipolar depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2001; 158:906–912
3. Cohn JB, Collins G, Ashbrook E, Wernick JF: A comparison of fluoxetine, imipramine and placebo in patients with bipolar depressive disorder. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 1989; 4:313–314
4. Tohen M, Vieta E, Calabrese J, Ketter TA, Sachs G, Bowden C, Mitchell PB, Centorrino F, Risser R, Baker RW, Evans AR, Beymer K, Dube S, Tollefson GD, Breier A: Efficacy of olanzapine and olanzapine-fluoxetine combination in the treatment of bipolar I depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2003; 60:1079–1088; correction, 2004; 61:176
5. Ghaemi SN, Lenox MS, Baldessarini RJ: Effectiveness and safety of long-term antidepressant treatment in bipolar disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2001; 62:565–569

S. NASSIR GHAEMI, M.D., M.P.H.
Atlanta, Ga.
FREDERICK K. GOODWIN, M.D.
Washington, D.C.

of the randomized, controlled trials in the literature. However, the presented data all reflect acute antidepressant treatment response, with the longest study duration (10 weeks). Bipolar disorder is a chronic, relapsing condition, with an etiology likely distinct from that of unipolar depressive disorder, for which current antidepressants were specifically developed. Treatment approaches applied to alleviate symptoms during acute exacerbations may have significant impact on the long-term course of the illness. The authors did not present evidence that the long-term outcomes are favorable with antidepressant treatment; thus, their conclusion to challenge the APA practice guideline for recommending lithium or lamotrigine as first-line treatment for bipolar depression is unfounded.

There are long-term (of 11–24 months) prospective, placebo-controlled (1–3), naturalistic prospective (4, 5), and retrospective studies (6)—excluded categorically from the current review—showing that antidepressant exposure is associated with worse long-term outcomes in patients with bipolar disorder, apart from the concern for acute mania. Developing treatment guidelines requires an integration of all available data.

The question of whether bipolar depression responds best to adding a mood stabilizer or an antidepressant may be amenable to investigation by a long-term practical clinical trial. This model of structuring studies to compare relevant alternative interventions in diverse, real-life patient populations and practice settings is gaining support from research decision makers. Current data on antidepressants in bipolar depression do not justify changing the APA treatment guidelines.

References

1. Quitkin FM, Kane J, Rifkin A, Ramos-Lorenzi JR, Nayak DV: Prophylactic lithium carbonate with and without imipramine for bipolar I patients: a double-blind study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1981; 38:902–907
2. Kane JM, Quitkin FM, Rifkin A, Ramos-Lorenzi JR, Nayak DD, Howard A: Lithium carbonate and imipramine in the prophylaxis of unipolar and bipolar II illness: a prospective, placebo-controlled comparison. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1982; 39:1065–1069
3. Prien RF, Kupfer DJ, Mansky PA, Small JG, Tuason VB, Voss CB, Johnson WE: Drug therapy in the prevention of recurrences in unipolar and bipolar affective disorders: report of the NIMH Collaborative Study Group comparing lithium carbonate, imipramine, and a lithium carbonate-imipramine combination. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1984; 41:1095–1104
4. Altshuler LL, Post RM, Leverich GS, Mikalaukas K, Rosoff A, Ackerman L: Antidepressant-induced mania and cycle acceleration: a controversy revisited. *Am J Psychiatry* 1995; 152:1130–1138
5. Ghaemi SN, Boiman EE, Goodwin FK: Diagnosing bipolar disorder and the effect of antidepressants: a naturalistic study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2000; 61:804–808
6. Ghaemi SN, Rosenquist KJ, Ko JY, Baldassano CF, Kontos NJ, Baldessarini RJ: Antidepressant treatment in bipolar versus unipolar depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004; 161:163–165

JEFFREY C. FETTER, M.D.
KATHLEEN D. ASKLAND, M.D.
Lebanon, N.H.

TO THE EDITOR: The review of antidepressants for bipolar depression by Dr. Gijsman et al. provided an excellent overview

TO THE EDITOR: The conclusion of a recently published review and meta-analysis by Dr. Gijsman et al. of antidepressant