Psychiatric Comorbidity in Pathological Gamblers Seeking Treatment

Angela Ibáñez, M.D., Ph.D.
Carlos Blanco, M.D., Ph.D.
Elizabeth Donahue, B.S.
Henry R. Lesieur, Ph.D.
Ignacio Pérez de Castro, Ph.D.
José Fernández-Piqueras, Ph.D.
Jerónimo Sáiz-Ruiz, M.D., Ph.D.

Objective: The authors' goal was to determine the frequency of psychiatric comorbidity among treatment-seeking pathological gamblers, compare the severity of gambling and psychological problems in gamblers with and without comorbid disorders, and investigate differences between gamblers with and without comorbid disorders in the dopamine D_2 receptor gene (DRD_2) .

Method: Sixty-nine pathological gamblers who consecutively applied to a specialized outpatient treatment program were evaluated with structured interviews, self-report questionnaires, and psychological scales and were genotyped for a *DRD*₂ polymorphism.

Results: A comorbid psychiatric disorder was present in 43 (62.3%) of the gamblers. The most frequent diagnoses were personality disorders (N=29 [42.0%]), alcohol abuse or dependence (N=23 [33.3%]), and adjustment disorders (N=12 [17.4%]). Gamblers with comorbid psychiatric disorders had gambling scores and psychological scale scores indicating greater severity of gambling and psychopathology. Significant differences in *DRD*₂ allele distribution were found in gamblers with and without comorbid disorders.

Conclusions: Psychiatric comorbidity is common among pathological gamblers and is associated with greater severity of clinical problems. The *DRD*₂ gene could be a liability genetic factor for psychiatric comorbidity in pathological gambling.

(Am | Psychiatry 2001; 158:1733-1735)

Recent estimates suggest that the prevalence of pathological gambling in the adult population is 1.1% (12-month) and 1.6% (lifetime) (1). Previous research has documented high rates of psychiatric comorbidity in pathological gamblers but, to our knowledge, has not compared the characteristics of gamblers with and without comorbid disorders (2).

Because presence of psychiatric comorbidity may influence the severity of illness, treatment selection, and outcome, it is important to evaluate the frequency and type of comorbid psychiatric disorders among pathological gamblers seeking treatment. We studied the psychiatric co-

morbidity of pathological gamblers who consecutively applied for treatment and compared the clinical and genetic characteristics of the gamblers with and without comorbid psychiatric disorders.

Method

Sixty-nine consecutive patients seeking treatment at the Hospital Ramón y Cajal in Madrid (47 men and 22 women) were evaluated for gambling behavior and associated psychopathology after providing signed informed consent. The South Oaks Gambling Screen (3) was given to the patients before all other evaluations. All patients met DSM-IV criteria for pathological gambling and

TABLE 1. Scores of Pathological Gamblers With and Without Comorbid Psychiatric Disorders on Measures of Clinical and Psychosocial Difficulties

Measure	With Comorbid Disorders (N=43)		Without Comorbid Disorders (N=26)		Analysis			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	t	dfa	р	Adjusted p ^b
Clinician-rated								
South Oaks Gambling Screen score	13.3	2.1	11.7	2.3	-2.8	65	0.006	0.01
Clinical Global Impression score	3.1	8.0	2.4	0.8	-3.2	67	0.002	0.004
Number of DSM-III-R criteria for antisocial personality disorder	2.1	0.9	1.5	0.5	-3.0	67	0.004	0.002
Self-report questionnaires								
State-Trait Anxiety Inventory score ^c								
State	34.7	14.5	24.2	11.3	-3.0	59	0.004	0.08
Trait	31.4	11.4	25.7	9.6	-2.0	59	0.05	0.10
Beck Depression Inventory score ^d	22.1	9.6	14.1	8.3	-3.4	60	0.001	0.01
Zuckerman Sensation Seeking Scale score	13.7	6.4	13.5	6.1	-0.1	64	0.91	0.20
	N	%	N	%	χ^2	df	р	Adjusted p ^b
Suicidal ideation or behavior present	17	39.5	3	11.5	5.8	1	0.01	0.02

^a Degrees of freedom vary because of missing data.

were assessed with the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-III-R (versions for axis I and axis II disorders), Global Clinical Impression (4), Beck Depression Inventory (5), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (6), and Zuckerman's Sensation Seeking Scale (7). Clinicians were blind to the subjects' scores on the psychological questionnaires. Allele distribution of the dopamine D_2 receptor gene (DRD_2) polymorphism was analyzed (8). Chi-square tests were used to analyze differences in the distribution of categorical variables, and t tests were used for continuous variables. All tests were considered significant at the alpha=0.05 (two-tailed) level. Multiple regressions were used to control for gender and gender-bycomorbidity interactions in the distribution of the variables.

Results

Forty-three (62.3%) of the subjects with pathological gambling had an associated psychiatric comorbid disorder at the time of evaluation. Twenty-four patients (34.8%) had one comorbid disorder, 17 (24.6%) had two comorbid disorders, and two (2.9%) had three disorders. Current comorbid disorders included alcohol abuse or dependency (N=16 [23.2%]), adjustment disorders (N=12 [17.4%]), antisocial personality disorder (N=10 [14.5%]), other personality disorders (N=19 [27.5%]), mood disorders (N=6 [8.7%]), anxiety disorders (N=3 [4.3%]), and substance abuse (N=2 [2.9%]). Lifetime comorbid diagnoses included alcohol abuse or dependence (N=24 [34.8%]), mood disorders (N= 11 [15.9%]), and anxiety disorders (N=5 [7.2%]). Significantly more men had current comorbid alcohol abuse/dependency than women (N=15 [31.9% of 47] versus N=1 [4.5% of 22]) (χ^2 =6.5, df=1, p=0.01), whereas more women had comorbid mood disorders (N=5 [22.7%] versus N=1 [2.1%]) (χ^2 =8.0, df=1, p=0.005). There were no gender differences in the current or lifetime frequencies of other disorders or in sociodemographic characteristics between the gamblers with and without comorbid disorders.

Gamblers with comorbid psychiatric disorders had significantly higher scores on the South Oaks Gambling Screen (indicating greater severity of gambling), and severity increased linearly with the number of comorbid diagnoses (r=0.40, df=64, p<0.001). They also had significantly higher scores on the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory and the Beck Depression Inventory (even after patients with comorbid anxiety and mood disorders, respectively, were excluded). Most of these differences remained significant after we adjusted for gender and gender-by-group (presence versus absence of comorbidity) interaction using multiple linear regression (Table 1).

Allele distributions of the DRD_2 polymorphism were significantly different in the gamblers with and without comorbid disorders (χ^2 =13.9, df=1, p=0.003). Allele C4 was present in 21 (42.0%) of the 50 gamblers with a lifetime history of comorbid disorders, compared with one (5.3%) of the 19 gamblers without comorbid disorders (χ^2 =7.0, df=1, p=0.008). A linear regression with South Oaks Gambling Screen as the outcome variable and presence of comorbidity, presence of the C4 allele, and the interaction term as predictors showed that the association between comorbidity and severity remained significant after adjusting for presence of the C4 allele (t=2.4, df=67, p=0.02).

Discussion

Confirming previous studies (2), this study of consecutive treatment-seeking pathological gamblers found a high rate and wide range of comorbid psychiatric disorders. Furthermore, we found that presence of comorbid disorders was associated with more severe gambling behavior and psychopathology. Because pathological gambling is frequently treated in specialized clinics, there is a risk that treatment may focus on gambling alone. Our findings underscore the need to conduct comprehensive evaluations of pathological gamblers and to devise treatment plans that appropriately address their comorbid illnesses. Retrospective studies suggest that simultaneous treatment of pathological gambling and alcohol depen-

^b Adjusted for gender and gender-by-comorbidity interaction.

^c Patients with comorbid anxiety disorder were excluded from this comparison.

^d Patients with comorbid depressive disorders were excluded from this comparison.

dence improve the outcome of pathological gambling treatment (9). Prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings and test their applicability to other comorbid psychiatric disorders.

The majority of gamblers with comorbid disorders had an associated substance-related disorder, suggesting that those disorders may be nosologically related either by predisposing to one another or by sharing common neurobiological mechanisms. The design of our study, however, did not allow us to examine the temporal relationship between these disorders. In contrast, we did not find any cases of obsessive-compulsive disorder, suggesting that their link with pathological gambling may be weaker than has been reported (2). Similarly, we found a low rate of comorbid mood disorders but a high number of patients with adjustment disorders, probably due to the outpatient setting of the study.

In our study group, pathological gamblers with comorbid psychiatric disorders were more severely affected than those without comorbid disorders according to clinicianrated measures and psychological questionnaires. Although the clinician may have systematically underrated one of the two groups, the average South Oaks Gambling Screen scores of each group, rated blind to whether the patient had comorbid disorders, do not support that explanation. Our findings of an association between DRD2 allele distribution and comorbid pathological gambling and other psychiatric disorders supports the role of this gene as a liability factor for psychiatric disorders (10). However, only the presence of comorbid disorders, not presence of the DRD₂ C4 allele or the interaction term, was associated with gambling severity as measured by the South Oaks Gambling Screen.

Our study is limited by its cross-sectional nature, the lack of additional comparison groups (e.g., subjects with a primary diagnosis of substance abuse disorders), and the absence of data on treatment outcome. Future studies should look at the longitudinal course of gamblers with and without comorbid psychiatric disorders (including diagnosis of new patients with comorbid disorders) and the impact of comorbidity on the rates of retention in and response of patients to treatment.

Received July 6, 2000; revisions received Dec. 18, 2000, and April 3, 2001; accepted April 19, 2001. From the Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Alcala University; the Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York; the Institute for Problem Gambling, Pawtucket, R.I.; and the Department of Genetics, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid. Address reprint requests to Dr. Ibáñez, Department of Psychiatry, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Alcala University, Crta. Colmenar km. 9,1, 28034 Madrid, Spain; aibanez@hrc.insalud.es (e-mail).

Supported in part by grant FIS 99/0011-01 from the Spanish Ministry of Health (Drs. Ibáñez and Sáiz-Ruiz), NIMH grant MH-15144, a National Alliance for Research on Schizophrenia and Depression Young Investigator's Award (Dr. Blanco), and grant FIS 95/1440 from the Spanish Ministry of Health (Prof. Fernández-Piqueras and Prof. Pérez de Castro).

References

- Shaffer HJ, Hall MN, Vander Bilt J: Estimating the prevalence of disordered gambling behavior in the United States and Canada: a research synthesis. Am J Public Health 1999; 89:1369– 1376
- Crockford D, el-Guebaly N: Psychiatric comorbidity in pathological gambling: a critical review. Can J Psychiatry 1998; 43:43–50
- 3. Lesieur HR, Blume SB: The South Oaks Gambling Screen (SOGS): a new instrument for the identification of pathological gamblers. Am J Psychiatry 1987; 144:1184–1188
- Guy W (ed): ECDEU Assessment Manual for Psychopharmacology: Publication ADM 76-338. Washington, DC, US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1976, pp 218–222
- Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J: An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961; 4: 561–571
- Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RD: STAI Manual. Palo Alto, Calif, Consulting Psychologists Press, 1970
- Zuckerman M: Sensation Seeking: Beyond the Optimal Level of Arousal. Hillsdale, NJ, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1979
- Huage XY, Grandy DK, Eubanks JH, Evans GA, Civelli O, Litt M: Detection and characteristics of additional DNA polymorphism in the dopamine D2 receptor gene. Genomics 1991; 10:527– 530
- Lesieur HR, Blume SB: Evaluation of patients treated for pathological gambling in a combined alcohol, substance abuse and pathological gambling treatment unit using the Addiction Severity Index. Br J Addict 1991; 86:1017–1028
- Comings DE, Comings BG, Muhlman D: The dopamine D2 receptor locus as a modifying gene in neuropsychiatric disorders. JAMA 1991; 266:1793–1800