Can Enhanced Acute-Phase Treatment of Depression Improve Long-Term Outcomes? A Report of Randomized Trials in Primary Care

Elizabeth H.B. Lin, M.D., M.P.H., Gregory E. Simon, M.D., M.P.H., Wayne J. Katon, M.D., Joan E. Russo, Ph.D., Michael Von Korff, Sc.D., Terry M. Bush, Ph.D., Evette J. Ludman, Ph.D., and Edward A. Walker, M.D.

Objective: The authors' goal was to determine whether improved outcomes from enhanced acute-phase (3-month) treatment for depression in primary care persisted. **Method:** They conducted a 19-month follow-up assessment of 156 patients with major depression in the Collaborative Care intervention trials, which had found greater improvements in treatment adherence and depressive symptoms at 4 and 7 months for patients given enhanced acute-phase treatment than for patients given routine treatment in a primary care setting. Sixty-three of the 116 patients who completed the follow-up assessment had received enhanced treatment, and 53 had received routine treatment in primary care. The Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology and the Hopkins Symptom Checklist were used to measure depressive symptoms. Automated pharmacy data and self-reports were used to assess adherence to and adequacy of pharmacotherapy. Results: At 19 months, the patients who had received enhanced acute-phase treatment did not differ from those who had received routine primary care treatment in clinical outcomes or quality of pharmacotherapy. Conclusions: Even though enhanced acute-phase treatment of depression in primary care resulted in better treatment adherence and better clinical outcomes at 4 and 7 months, these improvements failed to persist over the following year. Continued enhancement of depression treatment may be needed to ensure better long-term results.

(Am J Psychiatry 1999; 156:643-645)

Research in primary care has shown that enhancing acute-phase treatment improves short-term outcomes (1–3). We conducted two randomized controlled trials, the Collaborative Care intervention studies, to bolster acute-phase treatment of depressed patients in primary care (1, 2). In these studies, primary care physicians and on-site mental health consultants collaborated to care for depressed patients. These multifaceted interventions resulted in better adherence and more intensive and appropriate pharmacotherapy as well as higher patient satisfaction than routine treatment in primary care. Among patients with minor depression,

Received Dec. 19, 1997; revision received July 20, 1998; accepted Sept. 24, 1998. From the Center for Health Studies, Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound; and the Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle. Address reprint requests to Dr. Lin, Center for Health Studies, Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound, 1730 Minor Ave., Suite 1600, Seattle, WA 98101-1448; lin.e@ghc.org (e-mail).

Funded by NIMH grant MH-41739.

the intervention and comparison groups experienced equally favorable outcomes. However, patients with major depression showed significantly improved depression outcomes at 4 and 7 months after initiation of enhanced treatment.

This article reports the 19-month outcomes for the patients with major depression who participated in this acute-phase program. We tested whether patients who received the multifaceted interventions experienced better medication adherence and clinical outcomes than patients given routine treatment in the subsequent year.

METHOD

We have described in detail the methods of the Collaborative Care intervention trials in previous publications (1, 2). Human subject committees approved the procedures, and all subjects gave written informed consent. Primary care physicians referred to the studies depressed patients who were willing to start antidepressant medicine. Exclusion criteria included age under 18 or above 80 years, mild depression (a depression score of less than 0.75 on the Hopkins Symp-

TABLE 1. Depression Outcomes and Adherence to Pharmacotherapy at 19 Months for 116 Patients Who Did or Did Not Receive Enhanced Acute-Phase Treatment for Major Depression

Measure of Outcome	Patients Given Enhanced Intervention (N=63)		Patients Not Given Enhanced Intervention (N=53)		Analysis ^a	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F (df=1, 114)	р
HSCL score Inventory for Depressive	16.4	13.1	16.3	12.5	0.001	0.97
Symptomatology score	17.6	14.7	15.9	11.8	0.001	0.98
	N	%	N	%	χ2 (df=1)	р
Self-reported adherence to medication regimen Adequate pharmacotherapy	31	49.2	29	54.7	0.16	0.68
according to pharmacy data	47	74.6	33	62.3	1.51	0.22

^a Adjusted for age, sex, chronic disease score, and baseline severity.

tom Checklist [HSCL] [4]), current alcohol abuse, psychotic symptoms, immediate suicide risk, dementia, pregnancy, terminal illness, and plans to disenroll from the health plan in the next 12 months. We randomly assigned 156 patients with major depression to receive either the enhanced acute-phase treatment or routine treatment. Of these patients, 116 (74.4%) completed the 19-month assessment: 63 patients in the enhanced-treatment group and 53 patients in the routine-treatment group.

The Collaborative Care programs included physician training, patient education, and reorganization of services (on-site mental health consultants were available to co-manage depressed patients with their primary care physicians, and there was prospective monitoring of medication adherence). All intervention visits occurred during the initial 3 months (acute-phase treatment); medication adherence monitoring was carried out in the continuation phase.

The 19-month assessment included the following measures: a telephone version of the Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology (5), which evaluated depressive symptoms according to DSM-III-R criteria; the HSCL; antidepressant medication adherence; and chronic disease score (a measure of medical comorbidity) (6). Patients were classified as adherent to pharmacotherapy if they reported taking antidepressant medication for at least 25 days in the past month (15 days if they were taking fluoxetine). Pharmacy refill records were examined to determine which patients used antidepressant medicines for at least 90 days at a dose that was in the range recommended by Agency for Health Care Policy and Research guidelines (7, 8).

Clinical outcomes were compared statistically by using chi-square tests, t tests, and analyses of covariance controlling for age, sex, baseline HSCL or Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology score, and chronic disease score. To estimate the effects of dropping out of the study, baseline characteristics of patients who completed the 19-month assessments were compared with those of patients who did not.

RESULTS

The mean age of the 116 patients who were randomly assigned to the two treatment groups was 44.1 years (SD=13.6); 94 (81.0%) were women, 54 (46.6%) were married, and 90 (77.6%) had completed 1 or more years of college. At the baseline assessment, the patients' mean HSCL score was 46.6 (SD=10.9) and their mean Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology score was 46.0 (SD=10.2). Seventy-six (65.6%) had had two or more previous episodes of major depression or had been experiencing chronic depressive symptoms for 2 years or more. Patients enrolled in the original Collaborative Care studies did not differ from

patients who refused to do so in sex, age, or medical comorbidity (1, 2). Furthermore, no significant differences existed at baseline between those who completed the 19-month follow-up interviews and the original group in sex, age, HSCL or Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology score, or percent receiving the intervention.

Table 1 shows that there was no significant difference in self-reported use of antidepressant medication between the enhanced intervention and comparison groups 19 months after random assignment to the two treatment groups. Automated pharmacy data substantiated the similar adequacy of pharmacotherapy in the two groups. In the year following the acute and early continuation phase, there was no difference in depression outcomes between the enhanced intervention and comparison groups as measured by HSCL or Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology scores (adjusted for age, sex, chronic disease score, and baseline HSCL or Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology score). Unadjusted analyses yielded similar results. Only three (4.8%) of the enhanced intervention patients and one (1.9%) of the comparison subjects met DSM-III-R criteria for major depression at the 19-month follow-up.

DISCUSSION

As reported in the Collaborative Care randomized trials (1, 2), patients with major depression receiving enhanced acute-phase interventions achieved better short-term outcomes in terms of medication adherence and improvement in depression symptoms. However, these improvements did not endure. Intervention and comparison patients did not differ in medication use or severity of depressive symptoms in the subsequent year. In spite of a substantial rate of relapse (about 37%) reported in a related study (9), few patients from either the enhanced intervention or the comparison group met criteria for major depression at the 19-month follow-up.

Generalizability of the findings of this study to the overall population of depressed primary care patients

is limited because depressed patients who were not diagnosed as having depression by their physicians were not included in this study. Although there was a 26% loss to follow-up over the 19-month study period, patients included in this study group were similar at baseline to patients who were lost to follow-up.

The Collaborative Care programs bolstered interventions in the first 12 weeks of treatment by educating patients and physicians and by reorganizing primary care services. This enhanced and systematic management of depression ended early in the continuation phase of treatment, and routine care was then given. Our findings suggest that improved acute-phase treatment produced only short-term results. Although landmark specialty-based trials have demonstrated the benefit of longer treatment for patients at high risk of relapse (10), these intensive models are probably not feasible in primary care. Further research is needed to test appropriate models for improving long-term outcomes for depressed patients treated in primary care.

REFERENCES

- Katon W, Von Korff M, Lin EHB, Walker E, Simon GE, Bush T, Robinson P, Russo J: Collaborative management to achieve treatment guidelines: impact on depression in primary care. JAMA 1995; 273:1026–1031
- Katon W, Robinson P, Von Korff M, Lin EHB, Bush T, Ludman E, Walker E, Russo J: A multi-faceted intervention to improve

- treatment of depression in primary care. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996; 53:924–932
- Schulberg HC, Block MR, Madonia MJ, Scott CP, Rodriguez E, Imber SD, Perel J, Lave J, Houck PR, Coulehan JL: Treating major depression in primary care practice: eight-month clinical outcomes. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996; 53:913–919
- Derogatis LR, Lipman RS, Rickels K, Uhlenhuth EH, Covi L: The Hopkins Symptom Checklist (HSCL): a measure of primary symptom dimensions. Mod Probl Pharmacopsychiatry 1974: 7:43–45
- Rush AJ, Giles DE, Schlesser MA, Fulton CL, Weissenburger J, Burns C: The Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): preliminary findings. Psychiatry Res 1986; 18:65–87
- Von Korff M, Wagner EH, Saunders K: A chronic disease score from automated pharmacy data. J Clin Epidemiol 1992; 45:197–203
- Saunders K, Stergachis A, Von Korff M: Group Health Cooperative of Puget Sound, in Pharmacoepidemiology, 2nd ed. Edited by Strom BL. Chichester, UK, John Wiley & Sons, 1994, pp 171–185
- Public Health Service Agency for Health Care Policy and Research: Depression in Primary Care, vol 1: Detection; vol 2: Treatment of Major Depression. AHCPR Publication 93-0551. Rockville, Md, US Department of Health and Human Services, 1993
- Lin EH, Katon WJ, Von Korff M, Russo JE, Simon GE, Bush TM, Rutter CM, Walker EA, Ludman E: Relapse of depression in primary care: rate and clinical predictors. Arch Fam Med 1998; 7:443–449
- Frank E, Kupfer DJ, Perel JM, Cornes C, Jarrett DB, Mallinger AG, Thase ME, McEachran AB, Grochocinski VJ: Three-year outcomes for maintenance therapies in recurrent depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1990; 47:1093–1099