

aging geriatric patients, might consider incorporating venous thromboembolism prophylaxis orders routinely on admission.

References

1. Alpert JS, Dalen JE: Epidemiology and natural history of venous thromboembolism. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 1994; 36:417–422
2. Anderson FA, Wheeler HB, Goldberg RJ, Hosmer DW, Forcier A, Patwardhan NA: Physician practices in the prevention of venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1991; 115:591–595
3. Geerts WH, Heit JA, Clagett GP, Pineo GF, Colwell CW, Anderson FA Jr, Wheeler HB: Prevention of venous thromboembolism. *Chest* 2001; 119(suppl):132S–175S
4. Bauer JB, Chun DS, Karpinski TA: Pharmacy-led program to improve venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in a community hospital. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2008; 65:1643–1647

CAROL I. PING TSAO, M.D.
Milwaukee, Wis.

The author reports no financial relationships with commercial interests.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09050754) was accepted for publication in June 2009.

Ziprasidone and Citalopram Use in Pregnancy and Lactation in a Woman With Psychotic Depression

TO THE EDITOR: Although there is a small body of literature regarding the use of citalopram during pregnancy and lactation, minimal clinical information about the effects of the use of the drug ziprasidone during pregnancy or lactation is available. The present case is of a woman treated with ziprasidone and citalopram throughout pregnancy and lactation, without adverse effects to the mother or infant. This is the first report of ziprasidone use throughout pregnancy and lactation.

“Ms. MJ” was a 26-year-old African American woman with psychotic depression and posttraumatic stress disorder. Eleven months prior to giving birth, treatment with ziprasidone, 40 mg daily with food, and citalopram, 60 mg daily, was established to treat severe depression, suicidal thoughts, and olfactory hallucinations. The patient responded well. During this course of therapy, she became pregnant. The risks and benefits of continuing ziprasidone and citalopram were discussed with her. As a result of significant relapse risk, she chose to continue both medications. At 34 and 35 weeks of gestation, Ms. MJ was hospitalized with exacerbation of depressive and psychotic symptoms as a result of medication nonadherence. During her hospitalization, citalopram and ziprasidone were reinstated, and she responded well. Upon discharge, daily-monitored medication administration was instituted to ensure adherence, and she remained well throughout the remainder of her pregnancy.

At 39 weeks of gestation, the patient vaginally delivered a male infant weighing 2.64 kg. Apgar scores were 8 and 9 at one and five minutes, respectively. All physical and neurological assessments at birth were normal. Although no formal assessments were completed, informal assessments by a pediatrician at birth and at 2, 9, and 18 weeks postnatally revealed no drug-related complications or withdrawal effects. Breastfeeding was initiated after birth and maintained postnatally through 6 months, with occasional formula supplementation for third-party feedings. At age 6 months, the child was deemed healthy by his pediatrician, with normal growth and development.

Animal studies have demonstrated possible teratogenic effects at ziprasidone doses similar to human therapeutic doses (1), and an abstract described malformations in an infant exposed to ziprasidone in utero (2). However, the effect on human infants remains unclear. In the present case, use of ziprasidone throughout pregnancy was not associated with complications in the mother or infant. In addition, use of ziprasidone during lactation did not result in any detectable adverse outcomes. Ziprasidone concentrations in breast milk were not determined. However, minimal transfer of ziprasidone into breast milk is expected as a result of its extensive protein binding. This theory is supported by a previous case describing very low or nonquantifiable ziprasidone levels in breast milk (3).

Although the present report demonstrates that ziprasidone was not associated with reproductive toxicity or behavioral teratogenicity, the drug should be used with caution in pregnancy and lactation until a larger database on safety is available, and consideration should be given to preferential use of antipsychotics with greater established safety.

References

1. Pfizer: Geodon (ziprasidone). New York, Pfizer, 2008
2. Vaux KK, Jones KL: Ziprasidone embryopathy: Are the defects caused by pharmacologically-induced fetal arrhythmias? *J Invest Med* 2005; 53:S137
3. Schlotterbeck P, Saur R, Hiemke C, Gründer G, Vehren T, Kircher T, Leube D: Low concentration of ziprasidone in human milk: a case report. *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2009; 12:437–438

AMY WERREMEYER, PHARM.D.
Fargo, N.D.

The author reports no financial relationships with commercial interests.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09060765) was accepted for publication in August 2009.

Patients and Text Messaging: A Boundary Issue

TO THE EDITOR: The present vignette is of a novel type of doctor-patient communication: text messaging. The clinical implications of this form of nontraditional contact are explored and an approach to patient text messaging is provided.

“Dr. D” is a psychiatrist in private practice. She has treated a patient named “Ann,” a woman with borderline personality disorder, for more than 1 year. Ann’s condition has recently worsened. After their latest session, Dr. D received a text message on her cell phone from Ann stating that the session was “useless” and that she feels worse. Unsure of how to respond and, if so, in what way, Dr. D decides she will address the issue at their next meeting.

Text messaging is the term for the near instantaneous transmission of short, written messages of text from one cellular phone to another. The past decade has witnessed an exponential increase in its use, with an estimated 2.5 trillion text messages sent in 2008 (1). Nevertheless, many psychiatrists find themselves either unfamiliar with text messaging as a means of communication or unaccustomed to its use in clinical practice.

Heretofore, text messaging has been described in the literature in one of the following three ways: as a method

to facilitate administrative tasks, such as patient appointment reminders (2); as an example of a technological psychopathology (when overused) (3); and as a means to improve certain healthcare interventions, such as smoking cessation (4).

The present vignette describes the clinical situation of receiving a text message from a patient. In such instances, it is important to attend to both the *content* of the text message and the *process* of text messaging. Although on the surface, the patient's message appears to be merely a statement of frustration with a recent session, her texting has even more significant dynamic implications. Given her difficulty with object constancy and boundaries, texting allows her to remain intimately connected to her therapist in a concrete way, much like a transitional object, while simultaneously permitting expression of her aggression in an indirect fashion. Like all sorts of between-session encounters, the meaning of the patient's texting ultimately needs to be understood through expressive therapy.

I approach patient text messaging similarly to other boundary issues and respond to an initial text by confirming my

availability and expressing my interest, while concurrently stating the parameters of treatment. Thus, I respond to an initial text message with a phone call, informing the patient that I have received his or her message, that I wish to discuss it further with him or her in our next scheduled session, that I will read but not respond to future texts, and that he or she will need to call, not text, me if anything emergent occurs.

References

1. Stross R: What carriers aren't eager to tell you about texting. *New York Times*, Dec 28, 2008
2. Dyer O: Patients will be reminded of appointments by text messages. *BMJ* 2003; 326:1281
3. Block J: Issues for DSM-V: internet addiction. *Am J Psychiatry* 2008; 165:306–307
4. Krishna S, Boren SA, Balas EA: Healthcare via cell phones: a systematic review. *Telemed J E Health* 2009; 3:231–240

GEOFF NEIMARK, M.D.
Philadelphia, Pa.

Dr. Neimark is a shareholder with Pfizer.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.09071012) was accepted for publication in July 2009.

Reprints are not available; however, Letters to the Editor can be downloaded at <http://ajp.psychiatryonline.org>.

Correction

In the October 2009 article “Lower Level of Endogenous Dopamine in Patients With Cocaine Dependence: Findings From PET Imaging of D2/D3 Receptors Following Acute Dopamine Depletion” by Diana Martinez, M.D., et al. (*Am J Psychiatry* 2009; 166:1170–1177), the contribution of an individual was omitted from the acknowledgment text. It should read as follows: “The authors would like to thank Lawrence Kegeles and Anissa Abi-Dargham for their assistance with implementing the AMPT administration paradigm, and Richard Foltin for discussions regarding the implementation of this challenging protocol.” In addition, the full name of the center that made the research possible and where the participants were admitted should have been given. It is the Irving Center for Clinical and Translational Research.