- Sarter M, Martinez V, Kozak R: A neurocognitive animal model dissociating between acute illness and remission periods of schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology 2008; July 10 [Epub ahead of print]
- 11. Volpicelli LA, Levey Al: Muscarinic acetylcholine receptor subtypes in cerebral cortex and hippocampus. Prog Brain Res 2004; 145:59–66
- 12. Robbins TW: Synthesizing schizophrenia: a bottom-up, symptomatic approach. Schizophr Bull 2005; 31:854–864

JEFFREY A. LIEBERMAN, M.D. JONATHAN A. JAVITCH, M.D., PH.D. HOLLY MOORE, PH.D. *New York, N.Y.*

The authors' disclosures accompany the original editorial.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08091352r) was accepted for publication in October 2008.

Drs. Shekhar, McKinzie, and Felder Reply

To THE EDITOR: Dr. Pomara suggests that the clinical efficacy of xanomeline treatment for improving cognitive deficits in schizophrenia patients in our study (1) may not have been a result of sustained M_1 receptor agonist properties, as hypothesized by Dr. Lieberman et al. (2), but rather a result of chronic agonist-induced desensitization and downregulation of cortical M_1 receptors. Although M_1 receptor desensitization contributing to the clinical efficacy of xanomeline is certainly possible, which is supported by some of the evidence cited by Dr. Pomara, such a hypothesis is difficult to test directly. On the other hand, it is equally possible that direct agonist effects of xanomeline may contribute to cognitive benefits for the following reasons.

First, the postmortem studies by Crook et al. (3) measured 3H-pirenzapine binding, a ligand that is not a highly selective M_1 receptor antagonist and does not distinguish high versus low affinity states of the M_1 receptor. Second, muscarinic M_1 receptors appear to have a high receptor reserve requiring only a 15% occupancy to attain full signal transduction, suggesting that a significant decline in receptor number can possibly occur without causing functional consequences (4). Thus, the conclusion by Crook et al. that reductions in M_1 receptor density in limbic regions were a result of hypercholinergic state has not been directly tested and remains speculative.

Interestingly, preclinical testing of another M_4 receptor agonist, BuTAC, demonstrated that it possesses antipsychoticlike properties similar to xanomeline. However, unlike xanomeline, BuTAC is an antagonist at the M_1 receptor and does not exhibit efficacy in spatial learning in rats. This may support the hypothesis that the cognitive benefits of xanomeline may well be the result of its agonist properties at the M_1 receptor. Unfortunately, utilizing direct orthosteric agonists of receptors, it is difficult to conclude whether the effects of chronic administration of the drug are a result of continued agonism or desensitization of its receptors. Recently published data using muscarinic receptor potentiators further support a role for M_1 and/or M_4 positive modulation, rather than antagonism, as the primary mechanism driving antipsychotic efficacy (5, 6). With positive modulators, M_1 and M_4 receptors would be less sensitive to downregulation as a result of the allosteric mechanism of action. Indeed, positive allosteric modulation would be less prone to desensitization and would preserve spatial and temporal regulation of M_1 receptor activation (4). Therefore, based on the high receptor reserve for the M_1 receptor, and most likely for M_4 receptor, and evidence based on recent M_1 and M_4 selective positive allosteric modulators, it is unlikely that orthosteric agonistmediated desensitization is the sole explanation for muscarinic-based mechanisms of antipsychotic therapeutics.

References

- Shekhar A, Potter WZ, Lightfoot J, Lienemann J, Dubé S, Mallinckrodt C, Bymaster FP, McKinzie DL, Felder CC: Selective muscarinic receptor agonist xanomeline as a novel treatment approach for schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 2008; 165:1033–1039
- Lieberman JA, Javitch JA, Moore H: Cholinergic agonists as novel treatments for schizophrenia: the promise of rational drug development for psychiatry. Am J Psychiatry 2008; 165:931–936
- 3. Crook JM, Tomaskovic-Crook E, Copolov DL, Dean B: Low muscarinic receptor binding in prefrontal cortex from subjects with schizophrenia: a study of Brodmann's areas 8, 9, 10, and 46 and the effects of neuroleptic drug treatment. Am J Psychiatry 2001; 158:918–925
- May LT, Leach K, Sexton PM, Christopoulos A: Allosteric modulation of G protein-coupled receptors. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2007; 47:1–51
- 5. Chan WY, McKinzie DL, Bose S, Mitchell SN, Witkin JM, Thompson RC, Christopoulos A, Lazareno S, Birdsall NJ, Bymaster FP, Felder CC: Allosteric modulation of the muscarinic M_4 receptor as an approach to treating schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008; 105:10978–10983
- 6. Jones CK, Brady AE, Davis AA, Xiang Z, Bubser M, Tantawy MN, Kane AS, Bridges TM, Kennedy JP, Bradley SR, Peterson TE, Ansari MS, Baldwin RM, Kessler RM, Deutch AY, Lah JJ, Levey AI, Lindsley CW, Conn PJ: Novel selective allosteric activator of the M₁ muscarinic acetylcholine receptor regulates amyloid processing and produces antipsychotic-like activity in rats. J Neurosci 2008; 28:10422–10433

ANANTHA SHEKHAR, M.D., PH.D. DAVID L. McKINZIE, PH.D. CHRISTIAN C. FELDER, PH.D. Indianapolis, Ind.

The authors' disclosures accompany the original article.

This letter (doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08091352r1) was accepted for publication in October 2008.

Risperidone-Associated Increase in Triglyceride Levels

To THE EDITOR: Second-generation antipsychotics are frequently associated with metabolic adverse effects, such as weight gain and elevations of triglyceride or total cholesterol levels (3). Although minor changes in lipid levels have previously been reported during risperidone treatment (2), we observed the following case of pronounced risperidone-induced hypertriglyceridemia.

"Mr. A" was a 27-year-old man who suffered from DSM-IV paranoid schizophrenia for 9 years and had been previously treated with perazine and flupentixole. Upon his hospitalization, the patient presented with normal triglyceride (97 mg/dl) and total cholesterol (121 mg/dl) levels