

Postremission Predictors of Relapse in Women With Eating Disorders

Pamela K. Keel, Ph.D.

David J. Dorer, Ph.D.

Debra L. Franko, Ph.D.

Safia C. Jackson, B.S.

David B. Herzog, M.D.

Objective: The authors sought to evaluate patterns and predictors of relapse among women with eating disorders.

Method: Interviews were conducted bi-annually to annually to assess symptoms of eating disorders, axis I disorders, treatment, and psychosocial function on a weekly basis for women diagnosed with anorexia nervosa (N=136) or bulimia nervosa (N=110) and prospectively followed for 9 years. At the last follow-up, 229 (93%) of the subjects had been retained in the study group.

Results: Relapse occurred in 36% of the women with anorexia nervosa and 35% of the women with bulimia nervosa. Women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa,

restricting subtype, tended to develop bulimic symptoms during relapse, whereas women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa, binge-purge subtype, or bulimia nervosa tended to return to bulimic patterns during relapse. Greater body image disturbance contributed to a risk of relapse in both eating disorders, and worse psychosocial function increased the risk of relapse in bulimia nervosa.

Conclusions: These results may explain the long-term efficacy of interpersonal therapy for bulimia nervosa and suggest that focused body image work during relapse prevention may enhance long-term recovery from eating disorders.

(*Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162:2263–2268)

Relapse is a significant problem for individuals with eating disorders, with rates of relapse ranging from 22% to 51% across outcome studies of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa (1–5). The identification of predictors of postremission relapse could reveal key targets for the prevention of future episodes of illness (6). Studies of bulimia nervosa have identified a number of posttreatment predictors of relapse (7–10). Many of these, such as higher frequencies of vomiting (7), higher dietary restraint (8), greater dissatisfaction with body image (9), and the over-importance of weight and shape (10), could be characterized as residual symptoms of an eating disorder resulting from a failure to fully respond to treatment. In contrast, studies of anorexia nervosa have provided few posttreatment predictors of relapse (5), with most studies reporting on the predictive significance of intake variables (4, 11).

A limitation of previous work on the relapse of eating disorders is the time course used in most treatment outcome studies. These investigations typically have conducted assessments at intake, during treatment, at the end of treatment, and then at a single follow-up. Thus, for several studies, relapse was captured only for individuals who recovered by the end of treatment and who were ill at follow-up. This timeline does not capture events that may occur in the interim, and, for captured events, it provides little to no information concerning how individuals fared between recovery and relapse. Thus, many of these studies do not identify targets for relapse prevention that are distinct from the original targets of treatment.

The Present Study

The purpose of this study was to examine the rates and predictors of relapse in patients with anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. This study extends results from a previous investigation of recovery and relapse in this cohort (12) by including a longer duration of follow-up (from 7.5 years to 9 years) and by focusing specifically on postremission predictors of relapse. The previous investigation examined intake variables only as predictors of both recovery and relapse in the full study group and found no significant relapse predictors (12). In contrast to treatment outcome studies, the present study was designed to follow the naturalistic course of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa by using a prospective longitudinal design. This study advances the existing methods of other outcome studies of eating disorders in several ways. We followed a large number of women with anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa at study entry, and interviews were conducted every 6–12 months with the participants since the initiation of the study in 1987. This allowed a sensitive assessment of the course of illness and the time until clinical improvements and relapse. The duration of follow-up was long, and attrition was low. Among the variables measured prospectively after the remission of eating disorders, we examined four sets of predictors: body image disturbance, other axis I disorders, treatment, and psychosocial function.

TABLE 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Women With Remitted Eating Disorders

| Characteristic | Patients With Remitted Anorexia Nervosa (N=42) | | Patients With Remitted Bulimia Nervosa (N=83) | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| | Median | First to Third Quartile | Median | First to Third Quartile |
| Age at intake (years) | 20.7 | 18.7–22.5 | 24.3 | 20.2–27.9 |
| Duration of intake episode (years) | 3.7 | 1.6–6.5 | 4.3 | 1.5–8.3 |
| Duration of follow-up (years) | 9.0 | 8.0–9.5 | 9.5 | 8.5–10.5 |
| Postremission follow-up (years) | 5.6 | 3.1–7.3 | 7.9 | 6.7–9.4 |
| | N | % | N | % |
| Comorbid lifetime axis I disorder | 38 | 90 | 71 | 86 |
| Lifetime hospitalization for any disorder ^a | 24 | 57 | 27 | 33 |
| Lifetime hospitalization for eating disorder ^a | 20 | 48 | 10 | 12 |

^a Significant difference between groups ($p < 0.05$).

Method

Definitions

In line with previous work (6, 13, 14), we used the MacArthur guidelines to define remission and relapse. The Psychiatric Status Rating Scale (15) was used to denote the level of symptoms according to DSM-III-R criteria. Scores of 5 or 6 indicate a person who meets the full criteria. All participants had scores of 5 or 6 for either anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa at study entry. Remission was defined as having a period of 8 consecutive weeks in which no or minimal symptoms of the syndrome were present (Psychiatric Status Rating Scale score of 1 or 2), consistent with the MacArthur guidelines for depression. Relapse represented a return to full syndromal criteria after a period of remission for either anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa (Psychiatric Status Rating Scale score of 5 or 6).

Participants

Most participants were women seeking treatment for an eating disorder at the Massachusetts General Hospital Eating Disorders Unit and at other Boston-area eating disorder programs between October 1987 and June 1990. An additional cohort of 21 women who met DSM-III-R criteria for anorexia nervosa was recruited in 1991. The inclusion criteria for participation in the study were 1) a DSM-III-R diagnosis of anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa, 2) being female, 3) a minimum age of 12 years, 4) residence within 200 miles of Boston, 5) English speaking, and 6) no evidence of organic brain syndrome or terminal illness. Of the 294 women who met participation criteria, 250 (85%) agreed to participate in the longitudinal study. Four subjects dropped out of the study after their intake interview and before the first follow-up interview. Thus, the total size of the study group was 246. After complete description of the study to the subjects, written informed consent was obtained.

When we retrospectively applied DSM-IV criteria to intake data, 136 (55%) of these women met DSM-IV criteria for anorexia nervosa at intake, and 110 (45%) met DSM-IV criteria for bulimia nervosa at intake. Most participants (N=235, 96%) received some form of treatment during follow-up, and a portion (N=90, 37%) re-

ceived inpatient treatment. At the last follow-up, 229 (93%) subjects had been retained in the study group. Detailed demographic data for the full group have been presented elsewhere (16).

As reported previously (12), the rates of remission were significantly higher in the patients with bulimia nervosa than in those with anorexia nervosa. Over the course of follow-up, 83 women with intake diagnoses of bulimia nervosa (75%) achieved remission, and 42 women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa (31%) achieved remission. Table 1 provides data regarding age, duration of follow-up, and clinical characteristics for the remitted groups. The patients who recovered from anorexia nervosa had higher weights and shorter durations of illness at intake than those who did not recover (12). No differences were found between the patients who recovered from bulimia nervosa and those who did not (12).

Procedure

The individuals who appeared to meet study inclusion criteria were scheduled for an interview that was conducted in person by a trained research assistant. Interviewers confirmed the presence of full-syndrome eating disorders and assessed current and lifetime psychiatric disorders. Objective measures of height and weight were obtained during this intake interview. Follow-up interviews were conducted every 6 months during the first 5 years of the study and then were conducted annually during the remaining years of the study and thus required retrospective recall of the previous 6 months to 1 year. Follow-up interviews were conducted in person whenever possible. Previous research indicates that interview mode (telephone versus in-person) has little effect on reports of eating disorder symptoms in follow-up studies of eating disorders (17, 18). Mean, median, and modal duration of follow-up for the full study group were 8.6, 9.0, and 9.5 years, respectively. The subjects were paid for the initial and each follow-up interview.

Measures

At baseline, each participant's lifetime axis I psychiatric history was assessed by using the Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia—Lifetime Version (19) that was modified to include DSM-III-R diagnostic criteria for anorexia and bulimia nervosa. The 1983 Metropolitan Insurance Company's height and weight norms were used to calculate percent expected body weight (20).

During follow-up assessments, the Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation (21), adapted for eating disorders by inclusion of a section to probe for symptoms of eating disorders, was used to assess eating pathology, comorbid axis I disorders, psychosocial function, and treatment. In addition to the behavioral eating disorder symptoms indicated in DSM-III-R, the following DSM-III-R cognitive symptoms were probed: fear of becoming fat, misperception of body weight or shape, and overconcern with weight or shape. Undue influence of weight or shape on self-evaluation was not measured because this was introduced in DSM-IV in 1994 after the initiation of the longitudinal study. Research has indicated that both overconcern with weight and shape and undue influence of weight and shape were equally effective in differentiating patients with bulimia nervosa from comparison subjects with no eating disorders (22). Relevant to the present study, the Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation adapted for eating disorders probed for information to determine the presence of comorbid mood disorders, alcohol use disorders, and drug use disorders according to the Research Diagnostic Criteria (RDC), coded in weekly intervals.

Use of the following forms of treatment was also assessed on a weekly basis: individual outpatient psychotherapy, clinician-led group psychotherapy (either inpatient or outpatient), treatment with fluoxetine, and inpatient treatment. Fluoxetine was analyzed specifically because it has been associated with improved out-

TABLE 2. Predictors of Relapse in Women With Anorexia Nervosa

| Variable | Coding Range | Coefficient | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI | Likelihood Ratio | p |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------|---------|
| Univariate model predictor | | | | | | |
| Misperception of body | 0, 1 | 2.36 | 10.60 | 2.36–47.75 | 14.72 | <0.0002 |
| Fear of fat | 0, 1 | 1.46 | 4.32 | 1.46–12.78 | 7.56 | 0.006 |
| Psychosocial function | 0–5 | 0.81 | 2.24 | 1.24–4.07 | 6.67 | <0.01 |
| Concern about weight or shape | 0, 1 | 1.58 | 4.85 | 1.07–21.95 | 5.78 | <0.02 |
| Global Assessment of Functioning Scale score | 0–100 | –0.05 | 0.95 | 0.91–0.99 | 5.76 | <0.02 |
| Age (years) | | 0.07 | 1.08 | 1.02–1.14 | 4.63 | <0.04 |
| Individual psychotherapy | 0, 1 | 1.14 | 3.13 | 1.04–9.35 | 4.47 | <0.04 |
| Fluoxetine (mg/day) | | –0.03 | 0.97 | 0.90–1.06 | 0.56 | 0.45 |
| Group psychotherapy | 0, 1 | 0.53 | 1.70 | 0.21–13.47 | 0.22 | 0.64 |
| Psychiatric Status Rating Scale score | | | | | | |
| Alcohol addiction/abuse | 0–3 | 0.33 | 1.40 | 0.68–2.86 | 0.66 | 0.41 |
| Depression | 0–5 | 0.07 | 1.07 | 0.79–1.46 | 0.21 | 0.65 |
| Drug addiction/abuse ^a | 0–3 | –5.02 | 0.01 | | 0.14 | 0.71 |
| Mania | 0–5 | –0.16 | 0.85 | 0.31–2.32 | 0.12 | 0.73 |
| Hospitalization ^a | 0, 1 | –14.00 | 0.00 | | 0.06 | 0.81 |
| Multivariate model predictor | | | | | | |
| Psychosocial function | | 0.43 | 1.54 | 0.67–3.53 | 1.01 | 0.31 |
| Concern about weight or shape | | 0.96 | 2.62 | 0.54–12.80 | 1.66 | 0.24 |
| Misperception of body | | 2.58 | 13.20 | 2.67–65.20 | 15.94 | <0.002 |
| Age (years) | | 0.06 | 1.06 | 0.99–1.14 | 2.52 | 0.11 |
| Individual psychotherapy | | 1.14 | 3.14 | 1.00–9.85 | 4.11 | 0.05 |

^a No women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa who relapsed had drug use disorders or received hospitalization. This prevented inclusion of these variables in Cox regression analyses.

come and few negative side effects and, during the course of the study, was the only medication approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for bulimia nervosa (23). Coding ranges for predictor variables appear in Table 2.

Training and Reliability of Interview Assessments

Because of the duration of follow-up within the longitudinal study, significant effort went into training interviewers and ensuring adequate interrater reliability. A five-step training program modeled after the National Institute of Mental Health's Collaborative Psychobiology of Depression Study was implemented for training interviewers. First, interviewers learned the RDC nosological categories. Second, they practiced interviews on nonpatient volunteers and trained interviewers who engaged in role-playing as patients. Third, they observed and scored training tapes of expert interviewers and resolved any deviations from expert ratings. Fourth, they observed actual interviews. Fifth, they were observed by a senior interviewer while conducting study interviews. Ongoing supervision by a senior interviewer was available throughout the study.

To ensure high interrater reliability, a semiannual monitoring and recertification program was conducted. Audiotaped interviews of the participants with anorexia nervosa and those with bulimia nervosa were randomly selected for review and coding by all active raters working on the study at that time, excluding the original interviewer. Three measures of rater agreement were performed: kappa, percentage, and interclass correlation coefficients (ICC). The interviewers were required to achieve a kappa of at least 0.6; otherwise, retraining was instituted. For the most recent 6-month period for which we collected reliability data, across all categories and three raters, agreement ranged from 88% to 90% and ICCs (3,3 two-way mixed-effect, with Spearman-Brown correction) ranged from 0.93 to 0.94.

Statistical Methods

We used time-varying proportional hazards (Cox) regression (24) to determine the influence of course variables after remission on time to relapse. Thus, week 1 represented the first week of the 8-week period that defined remission. Predictors were examined prospectively from week 1 until 12 weeks before the onset of relapse. By time-lagging predictor variables, we ensured that the

predictors preceded the event they were intended to predict rather than potentially being a consequence of relapse. We focused on variables measured prospectively after remission to take advantage of the prospective study design and because these variables have the greatest relevance as targets for relapse prevention.

Nested models were compared by using the likelihood ratio test statistic that follows a chi-square distribution. A Cox model produces a model coefficient (β_i), a hazard ratio ($\exp[\beta_i]$), a confidence interval (CI) for the hazard ratio, a likelihood ratio for the coefficient, and a p value for the coefficient. Hazard ratios greater than 1 increase hazard (shorten time to relapse), and ratios less than 1 decrease hazard (lengthen time to relapse). A ratio that does not differ significantly from 1 has no statistically significant effect on time to relapse. Because of the large number of univariate analyses, a p value of 0.01 was set for statistical significance for interpretation of these analyses.

Finally, we developed a multivariate regression model to predict time to relapse using methods suggested by Hosmer and Lemeshow (25). Briefly, this approach involves inclusion of variables demonstrating a univariate association with an outcome at the $p \leq 0.20$ level as well as any variables of clinical importance, regardless of their univariate association. After fitting this initial multivariate model, each covariate of the model is removed. The remaining covariates are evaluated to determine whether the new model has lost an important effect (a 20% or greater change in the coefficient of any of the remaining covariates is evidence of an important interaction or confounding effect for the removed covariate). This process continues until no variables can be removed from the model. A p value of 0.05 was set for statistical significance of variables in the final multivariate model. Statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical package (26, 27).

Results

Forty-two women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa (31%) achieved remission during the course of follow-up. Among these women, 15 relapsed (representing 36% of those whose illness remitted, or 11% of the total group). Although there was no significant difference in the rates of relapse between the women with intake diagnoses

TABLE 3. Predictors of Relapse in Women With Bulimia Nervosa

| Predictor | Coefficient | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI | Likelihood Ratio | p |
|--|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------|----------|
| Univariate model | | | | | |
| Psychosocial function | 1.05 | 2.85 | 1.85–4.42 | 23.07 | <0.00001 |
| Concern about weight or shape | 1.79 | 6.02 | 1.81–20.03 | 13.12 | <0.0003 |
| Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF) Scale score | –0.04 | 0.96 | 0.94–0.98 | 10.11 | <0.002 |
| Fear of fat | 0.92 | 2.51 | 1.19–5.30 | 5.36 | <0.03 |
| Hospitalization | 2.30 | 10.02 | 2.08–48.23 | 5.19 | <0.03 |
| Age (years) | 0.07 | 1.07 | 1.01–1.13 | 4.65 | <0.04 |
| Misperception of body | 0.57 | 1.76 | 0.82–3.82 | 1.94 | 0.16 |
| Psychiatric Status Rating Scale score | | | | | |
| Drug addiction/abuse | 0.57 | 1.77 | 0.88–3.53 | 1.90 | 0.17 |
| Mania | –0.77 | 0.46 | 0.10–2.20 | 1.82 | 0.18 |
| Alcohol addiction/abuse | –0.36 | 0.70 | 0.31–1.57 | 0.89 | 0.34 |
| Depression | 0.11 | 1.11 | 0.87–1.42 | 0.72 | 0.40 |
| Individual psychotherapy | 0.43 | 1.54 | 0.74–3.22 | 1.30 | 0.25 |
| Group psychotherapy | –0.41 | 0.66 | 0.09–4.97 | 0.18 | 0.67 |
| Fluoxetine (mg/day) | –0.004 | 1.00 | 0.97–1.02 | 0.14 | 0.71 |
| Multivariate model | | | | | |
| Psychosocial function | 0.74 | 2.09 | 1.16–3.77 | 8.50 | <0.02 |
| Concern about weight or shape | 1.38 | 3.97 | 1.16–13.58 | 6.52 | <0.03 |
| GAF Scale score | –0.02 | 0.98 | 0.93–1.02 | 1.02 | 0.28 |
| Mania Psychiatric Status Rating Scale score | –1.08 | 0.34 | 0.07–1.66 | 4.64 | 0.18 |

of restricting anorexia nervosa and the women with intake diagnoses of binge-purging anorexia nervosa, the majority of the women who relapsed reported binge eating (10 of 15, 67%) or purging (11 of 15, 73%) during their relapse episode. One-third (5 of 15, 33%) of the women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa developed bulimia nervosa in their relapse episode. Only two women (13%) reported no bulimic symptoms during their relapse episode. One of these women had an intake diagnosis of anorexia nervosa, restricting subtype, and the other woman had an intake diagnosis of anorexia nervosa, binge-purge subtype.

Eighty-three women with intake diagnoses of bulimia nervosa (75%) achieved remission during the course of follow-up. Among these, 29 relapsed (representing 35% of those whose illness remitted, or 26% of the total group). In contrast to symptomatic patterns in the relapse of anorexia nervosa, most patients with intake diagnoses of bulimia nervosa who relapsed returned to having symptoms of bulimia nervosa (27 of 29, 93%). Only two women (7%) developed anorexia nervosa in their relapse episode. Despite differences in remission rates and patterns of symptoms after relapse, the women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa did not demonstrate significantly different relapse rates (log rank $\chi^2=0.0007$, $df=1$, $p=0.98$). Thus, just over one-third (35%) of both groups with remitted illnesses relapsed.

Table 2 presents predictors of relapse in women with anorexia nervosa. From univariate models of variables measured prospectively after remission, predictors of relapse included misperception of body weight or shape, fear of gaining weight or becoming fat, and worse psychosocial function. In the final multivariate model for anorexia nervosa, psychosocial function, overconcern with weight or shape, misperception of body weight or shape, age, and individual psychotherapy all demonstrated a significant effect. Among these variables, a misperception of body weight or shape and more individual psychotherapy

demonstrated statistical significance in increasing the risk of relapse.

Table 3 presents predictors of relapse in women with bulimia nervosa. From univariate models of variables prospectively measured after remission, predictors of relapse included worse psychosocial function, overconcern with weight or shape, and worse scores on the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF) (DSM-IV). In the final multivariate model for bulimia nervosa, psychosocial function, overconcern with weight or shape, GAF Scale scores, and mania score on the Psychiatric Status Rating Scale all demonstrated a significant effect. Among these, worse psychosocial function and overconcern with weight or shape demonstrated statistical significance in increasing the risk of relapse.

Discussion

Overall, just over one-third of the women who recovered from either eating disorder relapsed. These rates are consistent with previous studies (1–5). One interesting distinction between relapse in women with anorexia nervosa and women with bulimia nervosa is the extent to which cross-over occurred predominantly in one direction. That is, the women who recovered from anorexia nervosa frequently relapsed into a bulimic syndrome. However, the women who recovered from bulimia nervosa did not relapse into an anorexic syndrome. This may reflect factors that contribute to achieving remission, a prerequisite for relapse. A minority of women with intake diagnoses of anorexia nervosa achieved remission over the period of observation ($N=42$, 31%). Thus, we examined relapse predictors in women with anorexia nervosa who were in some ways less representative of their diagnostic group. Conversely, most women with bulimia nervosa did achieve remission ($N=83$, 75%). Potentially, features that contribute to an increased probability of remission may have made women from both

diagnostic groups more similar, contributing to similar rates of relapse and relapse symptom patterns, even though these features are fairly common in women with bulimia nervosa and not as common in women with anorexia nervosa. Such features may relate to the difficulty of sustaining low weight, either because of metabolic pressure to maintain weight or behavioral pressure to develop binge episodes in response to dietary restriction.

Like findings from previous investigations (9, 10), predictors of relapse included cognitive features of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. However, to the extent that these symptoms are part of the definition of both disorders, their continued presence would logically be related to the return of the full disorder. Thus, the association between body image disturbance and relapse may reflect a limitation in how we defined recovery from eating disorders (28). Within the current study, remission was defined as a score on the Psychiatric Status Rating Scale of 1 or 2 for 8 consecutive weeks. Although both scores require abstinence from eating-disordered behaviors, a score of 2 on the Psychiatric Status Rating Scale allows lingering concerns about weight and shape. This was intended to reflect normative discontent that is present in women who have never suffered from an eating disorder, and it is consistent with how many eating disorder studies define remission (29). Because these concerns appear to increase the risk for relapse, focused work on body image (30, 31) may help patients achieve lasting recovery from both behavioral and cognitive symptoms of eating disorders. Unfortunately, data collected on ongoing therapy did not reveal the content of that treatment before, during, or after remission from eating disorders. After remission of an eating disorder, it is quite likely that ongoing treatment would be related to other axis I disorders. Thus, it is not possible to evaluate the possible efficacy of focused work on body image on reducing the risk of relapse in the present study group. In addition, naturalistic follow-up studies are not designed to evaluate treatment efficacy because the same factors that might predict increased treatment-seeking likely contribute to relapse (32). This may explain why relapse in anorexia nervosa was predicted by increased individual psychotherapy.

Worse psychosocial function was retained in the final multivariate model for predicting relapse in both anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa and was a significant predictor in the multivariate model for relapse in patients with bulimia nervosa. This result may explain the association between psychosocial stressors and relapse previously described for bulimia nervosa (33). Women with poor psychosocial function may be less equipped to cope with life stressors. Thus, stress coupled with poor coping may lead to a return of symptomatic behaviors. Troop and Treasure (34) reported significant differences in coping responses to life stressors in the year before the onset of an eating disorder among patients with anorexia nervosa, bulimia

nervosa, and comparison subjects with no history of eating disorders. In addition, poor psychosocial function among recovered patients may contribute to the emergence of such stressors. Such a pattern may explain why interpersonal psychotherapy has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of bulimia nervosa at follow-up (35). Interpersonal therapy may reduce the risk of relapse by helping women recognize and cope with psychosocial stressors that contribute to disordered eating. Alternatively, problems in psychosocial function may not directly affect the risk of relapse but may serve as a marker of a third underlying variable—such as severity of axis II pathology—that increases the risk for relapse. Although axis II disorders were assessed at baseline, they were not assessed throughout the follow-up period and could not be included in analyses of postremission predictors of relapse.

The strengths of this study include the careful assessment of the course of eating disorders in a large group of women with intake diagnoses of either anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa. Both the long duration of follow-up and the low rate of attrition increased the probability that events of remission and relapse were captured. The weaknesses of this study include the low rates of full remission of anorexia nervosa. Although this is representative of results from other anorexia nervosa outcome studies (36), the low rate of remission reduced the number of women who had the potential to relapse and limited our power for the prediction of relapse. Thus, analyses for anorexia nervosa could detect only large effect sizes and may have captured some false positives. Finally, our results may not generalize to individuals who never seek treatment or who differ from our group demographically.

Future studies should examine interventions targeted at helping individuals improve body image and cope with psychosocial stressors to prevent relapse. Perhaps individuals with poor psychosocial function funnel distress into body dissatisfaction as a retreat to something they feel they can control. However, attempts to alter shape and weight do not improve psychosocial problems. Thus, the combination of poor psychosocial function and increased concern about weight and shape may trigger the return of full eating disorders. Teaching patients to cope effectively with psychosocial stressors and to accept their bodies may help prevent relapse into eating disorders.

Received March 24, 2004; revision received Dec. 1, 2004; accepted Feb. 1, 2005. From the Department of Psychology, University of Iowa; the Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston; and the Department of Psychology, Northeastern University, Boston. Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Keel, Department of Psychology, E11 Seashore Hall, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242; pamela-keel@uiowa.edu (e-mail).

Supported by grants from NIMH (R01 MH-61836 and R01 MH-63758) to Dr. Keel; grants from NIMH (R01 MH-38333), Eli Lilly and Company, and the Rubenstein Foundation to Dr. Herzog; and a grant from the Harvard Eating Disorders Center.

The authors thank the participants and the research assistants who helped with this research.

References

1. Keel PK, Mitchell JE: Outcome in bulimia nervosa. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997; 154:313–321
2. Pike KM: Long-term course of anorexia nervosa: response, relapse, remission, and recovery. *Clin Psychol Rev* 1998; 18:447–475
3. Morgan H, Russell GFM: Value of family background and clinical features as predictors of long-term outcome in anorexia nervosa: four-year follow-up study of 41 patients. *Psychol Med* 1975; 5:355–371
4. Deter HC, Herzog W: Anorexia nervosa in a long-term perspective: results of the Heidelberg-Mannheim Study. *Psychosom Med* 1994; 56:20–27
5. Strober M, Freeman R, Morrell W: The long-term course of severe anorexia nervosa in adolescents: survival analysis of recovery, relapse, and outcome predictors over 10–15 years in a prospective study. *Int J Eat Disord* 1997; 22:339–360
6. Hart AB, Craighead WE, Craighead LW: Predicting recurrence of major depressive disorder in young adults: a prospective study. *J Abnorm Psychol* 2001; 110:633–643
7. Olmsted MP, Kaplan AS, Rockert W: Rate and prediction of relapse in bulimia nervosa. *Am J Psychiatry* 1994; 151:738–743
8. Halmi KA, Agras WS, Mitchell J, Wilson GT, Crow S, Bryson SW, Kraemer H: Relapse predictors of patients with bulimia nervosa who achieved abstinence through cognitive behavioral therapy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002; 59:1105–1109
9. Freeman RJ, Beach B, Davis R, Solyom L: The prediction of relapse in bulimia nervosa. *J Psychiatr Res* 1985; 19:349–353
10. Fairburn CG, Peveler RC, Jones R, Hope RA, Doll HA: Predictors of 12-month outcome in bulimia nervosa and the influence of attitudes to shape and weight. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1993; 61:696–698
11. Norring C, Sohlberg S: Outcome, recovery, relapse and mortality across six years in patients with clinical eating disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1993; 87:437–444
12. Herzog DB, Dorer DJ, Keel PK, Selwyn SE, Ekeblad ER, Flores AT, Greenwood DN, Burwell RA, Keller MB: Recovery and relapse in anorexia and bulimia nervosa: a 7.5-year follow-up study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1999; 38:829–837
13. Frank E, Prien RF, Jarrett RB, Keller MB, Kupfer DJ, Lavori PW, Rush AJ, Weissman MM: Conceptualization and rationale for consensus definitions of terms in major depressive disorder: remission, recovery, relapse, and recurrence. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1991; 48:851–855
14. Field AE, Herzog DB, Keller MB, West J, Nussbaum K, Colditz GA: Distinguishing recovery from remission in a cohort of bulimic women: how should asymptomatic periods be described? *J Clin Epidemiol* 1997; 50:1339–1345
15. Herzog DB, Sacks NR, Keller MB, Lavori PW, von Ranson KB, Gray HM: Patterns and predictors of recovery in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1993; 32:835–842
16. Herzog DB, Keller MB, Sacks NR, Yeh CJ, Lavori PW: Psychiatric comorbidity in treatment-seeking anorexics and bulimics. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 1992; 31:810–818
17. Keel PK, Mitchell JE, Miller KB, Davis TL, Crow SJ: Long-term outcome in bulimia nervosa. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56:63–69
18. Keel PK, Crow SJ, Davis T, Mitchell JE: Assessment of eating disorders: comparison of interview and questionnaire data from a long-term follow-up study of bulimia nervosa. *J Psychosom Res* 2002; 53:1043–1047
19. Spitzer RL, Endicott J: Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (SADS), 3rd ed. New York, New York State Psychiatric Institute, Biometrics Research, 1979
20. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company: 1983 Metropolitan height and weight tables. *Stat Bull Metrop Life Found* 1983; 64:3–9
21. Keller MB, Lavori PW, Friedman B, Nielsen E, Endicott J, McDonald-Scott P, Andreasen NC: The Longitudinal Interval Follow-Up Evaluation: a comprehensive method for assessing outcome in prospective longitudinal studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987; 44:540–548
22. Goldfein JA, Walsh BT, Midlarsky E: Influence of shape and weight on self-evaluation in bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2000; 27:435–445
23. American Psychiatric Association: Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients With Eating Disorders (Revision). *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; 157(Jan suppl), p 17
24. Therneau TM, Grambsch PM: Modeling Survival Data. New York, Springer, 2000
25. Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S: Applied Survival Analysis: Regression Modeling of Time to Event Data. New York, John Wiley & Sons, 1999
26. Dalggaard P: Introductory Statistics With R. New York, Springer, 2002
27. Ihaka R, Gentleman R: R: A language for data analysis and graphics. *J Computational Graphics Statistics* 1996; 5:299–314
28. Cogley CG, Keel PK: Requiring remission of undue influence of weight and shape on self-evaluation in the definition of recovery from bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* 2003; 34:200–210
29. Keel PK, Mitchell JE, Fieselman S, Davis TL, Crow SJ: Impact of definitions on the description and prediction of bulimia nervosa outcome. *Int J Eat Disord* 2000; 28:377–386
30. Butters JW, Cash TF: Cognitive behavioral treatment of women's body-image disturbance. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1987; 55:889–897
31. Cash TF, Lavalley DM: Cognitive-behavioral body-image therapy: extended evidence of the efficacy of a self-directed program. *J Rational-Emotive Cognitive Behavior Ther* 1997; 15:281–294
32. Keel PK, Dorer DJ, Eddy KT, Delinsky SS, Franko DL, Blais MA, Keller MB, Herzog DB: Predictors of treatment utilization among women with anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002; 159:140–142
33. Mitchell JE, Davis L, Goff G: The process of relapse in patients with bulimia. *Int J Eat Disord* 1985; 4:457–463
34. Troop NA, Treasure JL: Psychosocial factors in the onset of eating disorders: responses to life-events and difficulties. *Br J Med Psychol* 1997; 70:373–385
35. Fairburn CG, Jones R, Peveler RC, Hope RA, O'Connor M: Psychotherapy and bulimia nervosa: longer-term effects of interpersonal psychotherapy, behavior therapy, and cognitive behavior therapy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1993; 50:419–428
36. Steinhausen H-C: The outcome of anorexia nervosa in the 20th century. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002; 159:1284–1293