Impact of Psychiatric Conditions on Health-Related Quality of Life in Persons With HIV Infection

Cathy D. Sherbourne, Ph.D., Ron D. Hays, Ph.D., John A. Fleishman, Ph.D., Benedetto Vitiello, M.D., Kathryn M. Magruder, Ph.D., Eric G. Bing, M.D., M.P.H., Dan McCaffrey, Ph.D., Audrey Burnam, Ph.D., Doug Longshore, Ph.D., Ferd Eggan, M.A., Samuel A. Bozzette, M.D., Ph.D., and Martin F. Shapiro, M.D., Ph.D.

Objective: Little is known about the impact of comorbid psychiatric symptoms in persons with HIV. This study estimates the burden on health-related quality of life associated with comorbid psychiatric conditions in a nationally representative sample of persons with HIV. Method: The authors conducted a multistage sampling of urban and rural areas to produce a national probability sample of persons with HIV receiving medical care in the contiguous United States (N=2,864). Subjects were screened for psychiatric conditions with the short form of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview. Heavy drinking was assessed on the basis of quantity and frequency of drinking. Health-related quality of life was rated with a 28-item instrument adapted from similar measures used in the Medical Outcomes Study. **Results:** HIV subjects with a probable mood disorder diagnosis had significantly lower scores on health-related quality of life measures than did those without such symptoms. Diminished health-related guality of life was not associated with heavy drinking, and in drug users it was accounted for by presence of a comorbid mood disorder. Conclusions: Optimization of health-related quality of life is particularly important now that HIV is a chronic disease with the prospect of long-term survival. Comorbid psychiatric conditions may serve as markers for impaired functioning and well-being in persons with HIV. Inclusion of sufficient numbers of appropriately trained mental health professionals to identify and treat such conditions may reduce unnecessary utilization of other health services and improve health-related quality of life in persons with HIV infection.

(Am J Psychiatry 2000; 157:248-254)

With improved treatments and longer survival times for persons with HIV infection, the maintenance and improvement of their functioning and well-being (collectively referred to as "health-related quality of life") have become major goals of treatment. We know

from studies of patient and general populations that mood disorders, particularly depression, have a substantial negative impact on a person's health-related quality of life. In fact, for most domains of functioning and well-being, depression is more debilitating than most medical conditions (1-3). Anxiety disorders, particularly panic disorder, have also been shown to have a negative impact on social, role, and mental functioning and well-being (4, 5). Very little is known about the impact of other psychiatric conditions, such as alcohol abuse and substance dependence. However, studies have shown that persons in treatment for alcohol dependence and abuse report a much poorer health-related quality of life than subjects from the general population (6). Also, among HIV-infected individuals with a history of injected drug use, those with more severe drug problems report a poorer health-related quality of life (7). When these conditions occur in combination with HIV infection, the overall burden may be particularly great.

Received March 31, 1999; revision received July 8, 1999; accepted Aug. 5, 1999. From the RAND Health Division; NIMH, Rockville, Md.; and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, Md. Address reprint requests to Dr. Sherbourne, RAND Health Division, 1700 Main St., Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138; Cathy_Sherbourne@rand.org (e-mail).

The HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study was conducted under cooperative agreement HS-08578 between RAND and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (Dr. Shapiro, principal investigator; Dr. Bozzette, co-principal investigator). Additional funding was provided by NIMH; the Health Services Resources Administration; the National Institute on Drug Abuse; the NIH Office of Research on Minority Health (through the National Institute for Dental Research); the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation; Merck & Co., Inc.; Glaxo Wellcome Inc.; the National Institute on Aging; and the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Comorbid psychiatric illnesses, which are associated with diminished health-related quality of life (1, 2, 4)are more common in persons with HIV (8, 9) than in the general population (10). For example, the prevalence of depression in HIV-infected clinic populations has been estimated to range from 22%-32% (9, 11), while recent data from a nationally representative sample of HIV-infected persons receiving care show depression rates of 38% (unpublished 1999 study of E. Bing et al.). These rates are two to three times higher than those seen in general community populations (10). Other comorbid psychiatric illnesses (e.g., generalized anxiety disorder and panic disorder) and substance abuse are also relatively common in persons with HIV (unpublished 1999 study of E. Bing et al.) and result in greater utilization of general medical and mental health services (12). Yet, these conditions, particularly the affective and anxiety disorders, are treatable with psychotherapy and psychopharmacology (3, 13-16). To the extent that these conditions are associated with diminished health-related quality of life independently of the effect of HIV infection itself, their presence can serve as markers for diminished healthrelated quality of life, regardless of cause, and treatment for these conditions can be encouraged.

A great deal about the impact of HIV has been learned from previous observational studies of adults with HIV infection (17-19), including the considerable decrements in health-related quality of life associated with the progression of HIV itself (20, 21). Yet, little is known about the impact that comorbid psychiatric symptoms have on health-related quality of life in persons with HIV. We could find only one relevant study that assessed the impact of axis I psychiatric disorders on functioning and well-being independently of HIV disease (22). The presence of any axis I disorder was associated with substantial decrements in the healthrelated quality of life dimensions of mental health, social functioning, and general health perceptions but not of physical health, role functioning, or pain. That study, however, was limited to a small convenience sample (N=95).

This article uses data from a nationally representative probability sample of people receiving care for HIV in the United States to examine the degree to which probable psychiatric conditions are associated with decrements in health-related quality of life in persons with HIV infection. These analyses build on our other work that has documented the morbidity of the disease at different HIV stages and shows the diminished health-related quality of life of persons with HIV compared to that of the general population and of persons with selected other chronic conditions (unpublished 1999 study of R.D. Hays et al.). In this study, we provide information on the impact that three comorbid psychiatric conditions (mood disorders, substance use, and heavy drinking) have on health-related quality of life in persons with HIV infection.

METHOD

Study Design and Sample

Data are taken from the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study, a nationally representative probability sample of HIV-infected adults who made at least one visit (other than an emergency department visit) to a nonmilitary, nonprison medical provider in the contiguous United States during the period from Jan. 5 to Feb. 29, 1996. Full details of the design are available elsewhere (23).

Multi-stage sampling was used to select patients for enrollment. In the first stage, we randomly selected 28 metropolitan and 24 rural areas in the United States that in total contained nearly 70% of all U.S. cases of AIDS. In the second stage, we randomly selected 1) providers who had been identified by local physicians or public health officials as being known to care for patients with HIV infection and 2) providers who had confirmed in a screening survey that they cared for patients with HIV. Each provider had a probability of selection proportional to the estimated number of patients with HIV he or she was treating. For the first provider category, 100% (N=58) of those from urban areas and 79% (N=22 of 28) of those from rural areas agreed to participate in the study. For the second provider category, 70% (N=61 of 87) of those from urban areas and 83% (N=19 of 23) of those from rural areas agreed to participate.

In the final stage, patients were anonymously and randomly selected from lists of eligible patients seen by the participating providers to maintain a uniform overall probability of selection within subgroups defined by gender and health maintenance organization membership. We approached subjects for interviews only after their providers obtained their permission. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects after the study had been explained. The RAND institutional review board and, if available, a local board reviewed all forms and materials. Of the 4,042 eligible persons sampled, we conducted a long-form computer-assisted personal interview with 71% (N=2,864).

Measures

Psychiatric conditions. During the baseline interview, all subjects were screened for "any probable mood disorder" (e.g., major depression, dysthymia, generalized anxiety disorder, or panic disorder) using the short form of the World Health Organization's Composite International Diagnostic Interview (24). The short form was developed to provide brief and accurate diagnosis-specific information and identify persons at high risk for psychiatric disorders. Kessler et al. (24), who used the full Composite International Diagnostic Interview (25) as the standard, found the short form to have moderate to high sensitivity (0.67-0.99) and specificity (0.94-1.00). Concordance between the short form and the full interview for specific disorders was lower in our sample (unpublished 1999 study of M. Orlando et al.). The sensitivity and specificity for the "any mood disorder" category used in this study were 0.80 and 0.76, respectively. Given the high false positive rate of mood disorders, we refer to patients as having "probable" rather than "definite" diagnoses of mood disorder.

The screening instrument for drug use was also based on the short form of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview with minor modifications. Probable drug dependence was defined if any of eight classifications of drugs (sedatives, amphetamines, analgesics, marijuana, cocaine, inhalants, hallucinogens, heroin) had been used in the past year, and if the subject reported using more than intended or having emotional or psychiatric problems from using in the past year. Among those persons who used drugs but were not drug dependent, use was further specified as being either "marijuana only" or "other drug use." Heavy alcohol use was defined as someone who drank at least half of the days during the 4 weeks before the interview and had three or more drinks on those days.

Health-related quality of life. The instrument used to measure health-related quality of life consisted of 28 items that assessed how well the subjects functioned in physical, mental, and social domains of life (26). The items were based on a broad array of measures developed for the Medical Outcomes Study (27). Eight dimensions of health are measured: physical functioning (nine items), role func-

TABLE 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics of 2,864 Subjects Interviewed for the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study^a

Age (years) 13 $18-29$ 13 $30-34$ 21 $35-39$ 24 $40-44$ 19 $45-49$ 12 ≥ 50 11 Gender 77 Male 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity White White 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education 6 <8 years 6 Some college 47 Married 2 Separated 6 Divorced/widowed 22 Never married 69 Household income 2 \$0 4 \$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 \$2\$5,001 11 Work F Full or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 </th <th>Characteristic</th> <th>%</th>	Characteristic	%
18-29 13 30-34 21 35-39 24 40-44 19 45-49 12 ≥50 11 Gender 77 Male 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity White White 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education 6 <8 years	Age (years)	
30-34 21 35-39 24 40-44 19 45-49 12 ≥50 11 Gender 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity White White 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education <8 years	18–29	13
35–39 24 40–44 19 45–49 12 ≥50 11 Gender 77 Male 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education 6 Some high school 19 High school diploma 27 Some college 47 Marital status 47 Married 2 Separated 6 Divorced/widowed 22 Never married 69 Household income 6 \$0 4 \$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001 11 Work 11 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance,	30–34	21
40-4419 $45-49$ 12≥5011GenderMaleMale77Female23Race/ethnicityWhiteWhite49African American33Hispanic15Other3Education<8 years	35–39	24
45-49 12 ≥50 11 Gender 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity 77 White 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education <8 years	40–44	19
≥50 11 Gender 77 Male 77 Female 23 Race/ethnicity 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education 49 <d> 45 Conter 3 Education 49 <d> 45 Some high school 19 High school diploma 27 Some college 47 Marital status Marital status Married 2 Separated 6 Divorced/widowed 22 Never married 69 Household income 4 \$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 11 \$25,001 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disab</d></d>	45–49	12
Gender77Male77Female23Race/ethnicity9White49African American33Hispanic15Other3Education6Some high school19High school diploma27Some college47Marital status10Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income15\$5,001−10,00026\$11,001−17,00015\$17,001−25,00011\$25,001−40,00012\$40,001−55,0006≥\$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months8No insurance18Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Clinical stage19Private insurance33Clinical stage22Asymptomatic52AIDS38	≥50	11
Male77Female23Race/ethnicity9White49African American33Hispanic15Other3Education $<8 years$	Gender	
Female 23 Race/ethnicity White 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education 6 Some high school 19 Hispanic 27 Some college 47 Marital status Marital status Married 2 Separated 6 Divorced/widowed 22 Never married 69 Household income 9 \$0 4 \$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 \$\$55,001 11 Work Insurance Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 Medicai	Male	77
Race/ethnicity 49 African American 33 Hispanic 15 Other 3 Education	Female	23
White49African American33Hispanic15Other3Education < 3 education < 3 Some high school19High school diploma27Some college47Marital status < 2 Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income6 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$1,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 \ge \$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Not working8Insurance, last 6 months7No insurance18Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid52AIDS38	Race/ethnicity	
African American33Hispanic15Other3Education 3 Education19High school diploma27Some college47Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income6 $\$00 - 4$ $\$1-5,000$ $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 \ge \$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid stage10Symptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	White	49
Hispanic15Other3Education < 3 years<8 years	African American	33
Other3Education<8 years	Hispanic	15
Education<8 years6<8 years	Other	3
<8 years6Some high school19High school diploma27Some college47Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income 4 \$1-5,00015\$10,001-17,00026\$10,001-17,00015\$17,001-25,00011\$25,001-40,00012\$40,001-55,0006≥\$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid10Symptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Education	
Some high school19High school diploma27Some college47Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income 4 \$1-5,00015\$5,001-10,00026\$10,001-17,00012\$40,001-55,00011\$25,001-40,00012\$40,001-55,0006≥\$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Clinical stage7Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	<8 vears	6
High school diploma27Some college47Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income4 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 \ge \$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Clinical stage7Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Some high school	19
Some college47Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income 4 \$1-5,00015\$5,001-10,00026\$10,001-17,00015\$17,001-25,00011\$25,001-40,00012\$40,001-55,0006 \geq \$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Clinical stage33Clinical stage10Symptomatic52AIDS38	High school diploma	27
Marital status2Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income4 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 $\ge \$55,001$ 11Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid33Clinical stage10Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Some college	47
Married2Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income4 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6≥ $\$55,001$ 11Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid33Clinical stage7Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Marital status	
Separated6Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income4 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 $≥\$55,001$ 11Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months10No insurance18Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage10Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Married	2
Divorced/widowed22Never married69Household income $\$0$ $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 \ge \$55,00111Work7Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid30Medicaid33Clinical stage7Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Separated	6
Never married 69 Household income 9 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 ≥ $\$55,001$ 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 30 Symptomatic 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	Divorced/widowed	22
Household income 4 $\$0$ 4 $\$1-5,000$ 15 $\$5,001-10,000$ 26 $\$10,001-17,000$ 15 $\$17,001-25,000$ 11 $\$25,001-40,000$ 12 $\$40,001-55,000$ 6 $\ge \$55,001$ 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 30 Clinical stage 3 Asymptomatic 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	Never married	69
\$0 4 \$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicare 19 Private insurance 33 Clinical stage Asymptomatic 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	Household income	
\$1-5,000 15 \$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 33 Clinical stage 33 Clinical stage 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$0	4
\$5,001-10,000 26 \$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicare 19 Private insurance 33 Clinical stage 4 Asymptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$1-5.000	15
\$10,001-17,000 15 \$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicare 19 Private insurance 33 Clinical stage 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$5.001-10.000	26
\$17,001-25,000 11 \$25,001-40,000 12 \$40,001-55,000 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work 7 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 18 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 30 Medicaid 30 Clinical stage 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$10.001-17.000	15
\$25,001-40,000 12 $$40,001-55,000$ 6 ≥\$55,001 11 Work 11 Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months 8 No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicare 19 Private insurance 33 Clinical stage 4 Asymptomatic 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$17.001-25.000	11
	\$25.001-40.000	12
≥\$55,001 11 Work Full- or part-time 37 Unemployed 7 Disabled 47 Not working 8 Insurance, last 6 months No insurance 18 Medicaid 30 Medicare 19 Private insurance 33 Clinical stage Asymptomatic 10 Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	\$40.001-55.000	6
WorkFull- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months8No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage33Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	≥\$55.001	11
Full- or part-time37Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months8No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage33Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Work	
Unemployed7Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months8No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage4Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Full- or part-time	37
Disabled47Not working8Insurance, last 6 months8Insurance, last 6 months18No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage33Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Unemployed	7
Not working8Insurance, last 6 months18No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage33Clinical stage10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Disabled	47
Insurance, last 6 months18No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage33Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Not working	8
No insurance18Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Insurance, last 6 months	
Medicaid30Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage10Symptomatic52AIDS38	No insurance	18
Medicare19Private insurance33Clinical stage10Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Medicaid	30
Private insurance33Clinical stage10Asymptomatic52AIDS38	Medicare	19
Clinical stageAsymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Private insurance	33
Asymptomatic10Symptomatic52AIDS38	Clinical stage	
Symptomatic 52 AIDS 38	Asymptomatic	10
AÍDŚ 38	Symptomatic	52
	AÍDS	38

^a Study sample represents 231,400 adults with known HIV infection who were receiving medical care at eligible sites during the first 2 months of 1996.

tioning (two items), pain (two items), general health perceptions (three items), emotional well-being (seven items), social functioning (two items), energy (two items), and disability days (one item). The items within each dimension had a high degree of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha=0.74–0.91). The subdimensions were scored by using simple summated scale methods. Scores were linearly transformed into T scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. Higher scores indicate better health-related quality of life. Disability days ranged from 0–28 days in the past 4 weeks.

Physical and mental health composite scores were derived from all subdimensions by means of factor scoring coefficients derived from a two-factor oblique model with a moderate (r=0.70) correlation among factors. Final scores for the composites were also transformed linearly into T scores, with estimated reliabilities (28) of 0.96 and 0.94, respectively. The composites provide a global sense for

whether comorbid conditions are associated with physical and mental health, whereas the subdimensions provide detailed information about how the conditions affect the specific profile of health-related quality of life. Emotional-well being was not studied separately because of its high (r=0.98) correlation with the mental health composite score.

Other covariates. A variety of demographic and clinical data, obtained as part of the interview, were included as controls in multivariate models. Demographic variables included age, gender, race, education, annual income, and occupational status. Clinical data included self-reported immune function (lowest CD4 cell count), HIV disease stage, and 13 HIV-related clinical symptoms experienced in the previous 6 months (new or persistent headaches; fevers, sweats, or chills; pain in the mouth, lips, or gums; white patches in the mouth; painful rashes or sores on the skin; nausea or loss of appetite; trouble with the eyes; a sinus infection, pain, or discharge; numbness or tingling in the hands or feet; Kaposi's sarcoma lesions; persistent cough or difficulty breathing; diarrhea or watery stools; and weight loss). Recent findings suggest that self-report CD4 data provide good estimates of true CD4 counts (29).

We imputed missing values for covariates and health-related quality of life measures by using a standard hot-deck strategy (30). We imputed 4.9% of the CD4 cell count values, less than 4% of the income values, less than 3% of the values for health-related quality of life measures, and less than 0.5% of the missing values for other variables.

Analysis

Multiple linear regression analyses were conducted to examine both the total and unique relationship of psychiatric conditions to health-related quality of life, controlling for demographic and clinical characteristics. The disability days measure was the only dependent variable with a skewed distribution. Because analyses using log of disability days found similar results, for simplicity, we report analyses from the nontransformed analysis. Models were estimated with each psychiatric condition entered singly (total relationship) and again with all entered simultaneously (unique relationship). Interactions between different psychiatric conditions were examined to see if the burden associated with these comorbid psychiatric conditions was greater with multiple conditions. All models included controls for the aforementioned covariates. We adjusted for multiple comparisons by using the method developed by Hochberg (31).

Data from each respondent were weighted to assure valid inference to the reference population by using an analytic weight (which can be interpreted as the number of persons represented by that respondent). This weight is constructed from the product of the "sampling weight" (which adjusts for differential sampling probabilities), a "multiplicity weight" (which adjusts for patients who could have entered the sample through their visits to multiple providers), and a "nonresponse" weight (which adjusts for differences in rates of cooperation). To compensate for the complex multistage sampling design and differential weighting, we adjusted all standard errors and statistical tests by means of linearization methods (32).

RESULTS

The sample of 2,864 patients with full interview data from the HIV Cost and Services Utilization Study represents 231,400 adults with known HIV infection receiving medical care at eligible sites during the first 2 months of 1996. Table 1 shows demographic and clinical characteristics of this population.

As seen in table 2, the screening instrument detected a probable diagnosis of any mood disorder during the previous 12 months in nearly half the sample (specific probable diagnoses within this group included depression [36%], dysthymia [26%], generalized anxiety disorder [16%], and panic disorder [11%]). Screening for

TABLE 2. Health-Related Quality of Life Scores of HIV-Infected Subjects With and Without Probable Psychiatric Conditions^a

									Drug Use							
	Any Mood Disorder				Heavy Drinking			Marijuana		Other Drugs.						
Health-Related	Yes (N=1,423)		No (N=1,441)		Yes (N=176)		No (N=2,683)		Only (N=341)		No Dependence (N=744)		Dependence (N=368)		No Drugs (N=1,407)	
Quality of Life Measure	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
Physical composite	48.1 ^b	0.3	51.7	0.2	50.6	0.4	50.0	0.2	50.4	0.3	50.3	0.3	48.5	0.6	50.1	0.3
Mental composite	45.6 ^b	0.3	54.1	0.2	49.4	1.0	50.1	0.2	51.0 ^c	0.5	49.4 ^{b,d}	0.4	46.7 ^b	0.6	50.9	0.3
Physical functioning	49.6 ^b	0.3	51.4	0.3	51.5	0.4	50.5	0.2	51.1	0.4	50.9	0.3	49.8	0.7	50.4	0.3
Role functioning	49.4 ^b	0.3	51.4	0.3	51.1	0.4	50.4	0.3	50.4	0.4	51.2	0.3	50.1	0.6	50.2	0.3
Pain	49.0 ^b	0.2	51.5	0.2	50.1	0.6	50.3	0.2	50.4	0.4	50.5	0.4	48.4 ^e	0.7	50.6	0.3
General health	48.7 ^b	0.3	52.4	0.2	50.6	0.5	50.6	0.2	50.8	0.4	50.7	0.3	49.9	0.5	50.7	0.2
Social functioning	47.3 ^b	0.4	53.4	0.3	51.4	0.7	50.4	0.2	51.1 ^f	0.5	50.2 ^{d,g}	0.3	48.2 ^b	0.6	51.1	0.3
Energy	48.2 ^b	0.3	52.4	0.2	51.0	0.6	50.3	0.2	51.3 ^f	0.4	50.4 ^d	0.3	48.3 ^h	0.7	50.6	0.2
Disability days	4.2 ^b	0.2	3.0	0.2	3.9	0.3	3.6	0.1	3.3	0.2	3.5	0.2	4.6	0.6	3.4	0.1

^a Health-related quality of life measures except for disability days (which range from 0 to 28 days in the past month) were linearly transformed to T scores with a mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10. Two tailed t tests were used to compare groups (df range=2820–2827 owing to missing data).

^b Significantly different from the group without the condition (p<0.0001).

^c Significantly different from subjects reporting other drug use (p=0.02) and drug dependence (p<0.001).

^d Significantly different from subjects with drug dependence (p=0.01).

^e Significantly different from the group without the condition (p=0.006).

^f Significantly different from subjects with drug dependence (p<0.001).

⁹ Significantly different from the group without the condition (p=0.005).

^h Significantly different from the group without the condition (p=0.002).

drug abuse resulted in 12% being classified as dependent on drugs, 12% as marijuana users only, and 26% as users of other drugs. Six percent of the sample were classified as being heavy drinkers.

Table 2 also presents the health-related quality of life composite scores for subjects with and without probable psychiatric conditions, controlling for demographics and other covariates. These results are from models with each psychiatric condition entered separately and thus represent the total relationship between each probable condition and health-related quality of life. Subjects with a probable diagnosis of any mood disorder had significantly worse functioning and well-being than those without a mood disorder diagnosis on all health-related quality of life measures, including the physical and mental health composites (all t values>4.43, df=2827, all p values<0.0001 using a twotailed test). The magnitude of differences in health-related quality of life scores between subjects with and without probable mood disorders were smaller for the physical health subscales and composite (effect sizes ranged from 0.2 SD to 0.4 SD) than for the mental health subscales and composite (effect sizes ranged from 0.4 SD for energy, 0.6 SD for social functioning, and 0.8 SD for the mental health composite).

The health-related quality of life of subjects who reported heavy drinking did not differ from those who did not drink heavily. The health-related quality of life of subjects who reported marijuana use only did not differ from subjects who reported no drug use. Subjects who reported other drug use but not dependence had significantly lower mental health (t=4.16, df= 2820, p<0.0001) and social functioning (t=2.92, df= 2820, p=0.005) scores than did subjects who reported no drug use. Probable drug dependence was negatively related to the mental composite scores (t=5.67, df=

2820, p<0.0001) and the subdimensions of freedom from pain (t=2.87, df=2820, p=0.006), social functioning (t=3.99, df=2820, p<0.0001), and energy (t=3.20, df=2820, p=0.002).

There were health-related quality of life differences among subjects reporting different types of drug use. Subjects who reported using marijuana only or who reported other drug use (but not dependence) had significantly better levels of mental health (composites), social functioning, and more energy than did subjects with substance dependence (t=2.60-6.42, df=2821, p= 0.02 to <0.0001).

Models were also estimated with each psychiatric condition entered simultaneously to test the unique relationship of each condition with health-related quality of life. Results for any mood disorder and heavy drinking were similar to those reported for the total relationship models. In all cases, the health-related quality of life burden was most severe in subjects with a probable mood disorder diagnosis. The relationship between health-related quality of life and drug use became weaker. After controlling for presence of any mood disorder and heavy drinking, subjects with probable drug dependence only differed in their mental health composite score (t=3.54, df=2814, p=0.001) from persons without any psychiatric condition. Differences among categories of drug use were also less pronounced after controlling for other psychiatric conditions.

Only three of 64 tests of interactions among psychiatric conditions were significant (presumably due to chance), which suggests that the burden on health-related quality of life related to comorbid psychiatric conditions was not greater than the additive effect for subjects with combinations of mood disorders, substance dependence, and alcohol use.

DISCUSSION

This study is the first to show in a nationally representative sample of persons with HIV infection the detrimental burden on health-related quality of life associated with comorbid psychiatric conditions. HIV subjects with a probable diagnosis of any mood disorder had poorer physical and mental health and wellbeing than did those without such symptoms. While the relationship of mood disorders to mental functioning is to be expected, since one is defined substantially by the other, the additional burden in all subdomains including physical health, role functioning, pain, general health perceptions, and disability days is noteworthy. The effect sizes are not large for the physical health measures. However, the difference of 0.3 SD for the physical health composite is equal to the difference between working and being unemployed in the whole sample (unpublished 1999 study of R.D. Hays et al.). These results do not reflect differences in HIV disease stage, which was controlled for in the analyses. These results are in contrast to those reported before on a convenience sample of persons with HIV (22) but substantiate the considerable additional illness burden associated with mood/anxiety disorders in persons experiencing other physical illnesses (1–3).

Heavy drinking was not associated with diminished health-related quality of life. Our measure of heavy drinking may not have segregated those at the most severe end of the drinking continuum from those whose drinking was moderate but not necessarily morbid. However, other analyses (data not shown) with an alternate measure that focused on moderate and binge drinkers (low binge: drank in past month and had five or more drinks on 1–4 days; high binge: five or more drinks on more than 4 days) found similar nonsignificant results. Other studies that have found diminished health-related quality of life in persons being treated for alcohol abuse and dependence may have been capturing a more severely ill population (15).

Probable drug dependence was associated with poorer health-related quality of life, although most of this impairment disappeared after controlling for presence of a probable mood disorder. Users of drugs other than marijuana but who were not dependent did have lower mental health and social functioning-but not physical health—scores than did nonusers. However, these differences also became nonsignificant after controlling for presence of a probable mood disorder. These results are in contrast with the severe social and role functioning disabilities that typify people seen in treatment for drug dependence (16). It may be that depression and anxiety are so intrinsic to the problems of drug dependence that there is little relationship between dependence and health-related quality of life after adjusting for mood disorders. This may be supported by the fact that in our sample, 76% of subjects with drug dependence also had a mood disorder. Alternately, it may be that the people usually seen in treatment for drug dependence have more severe limitations than the present sample because they are in treatment for chronic problems (e.g., poor employment history). These chronic problems that define a treatment sample may not be captured by our drug use measures, which ask about drug use in the past 12 months regardless of whether the respondent was currently or ever in treatment. There is some evidence in the literature that substance abusers who do seek care are different than those who do not and tend to be more dysfunctional (33).

Previous research has shown that mood disorders, especially depression, have a strong impact on a person's daily functioning (10, 34, 35), yet they often go undetected and untreated in general practice (36). In addition, limitations in functioning and well-being in persons with symptoms that do not reach the threshold for disorder often persist over time (2), possibly due to untreated or partially treated depression. HIV itself is associated with diminished health-related quality of life, especially during the later stages of the disease (20). This study found additional burden on health-related quality of life associated with comorbid mood disorders after controlling for HIV symptoms, CD4 count, and stage of disease. To provide a sense of the magnitude of burden, previous analyses showed that while the average score on physical and mental health aggregates in the sample was 50 (SD=10), those scores for patients with the lowest CD4 levels were 49.58 and 48.80, respectively, compared to 50.91 and 51.14 for those with the highest CD4 levels (unpublished 1999 study of R.D. Hays et al.). In comparison, physical and mental composite scores for patients with any mood disorder were 48.1 and 45.6 while those for patients with drug dependence were 48.5 and 46.7.

Our study has several limitations. First, the screening tests for anxiety and mood disorders, drug dependence, and alcohol abuse, although high in sensitivity and specificity in a general population, have lower concordance in persons with HIV (unpublished 1999 study of M. Orlando et al.). Although the short form of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview provides useful information about mental health distress in HIV-positive adults, it is less accurate for providing disorder-specific diagnoses in this population. In particular, there appears to be some confounding of HIV symptomatology and interview-defined caseness. However, while subjects identified may not have these disorders, they may, in fact, have subthreshold symptoms and be at high risk for developing the full psychiatric or drug use disorders. Given the consistently poorer health-related quality of life associated with these symptoms, it is expected that the burden would be even greater in persons with the disorders (10). Second, data are from cross-sectional analyses and do not address issues of the causal relationship between psychiatric symptoms and health-related quality of life.

The fact that the health-related quality of life of HIV-infected persons with probable psychiatric comorbidity, as measured by these screening tests, was diminished suggests that these conditions are clinically

important and should be identified and treated early, regardless of confirmed disorder status. Such treatment may reduce total utilization of health services and improve health-related quality of life. These initial results show no additional decrement in health-related quality of life associated with heavy alcohol use or marijuana and other drug use. It will be important to confirm whether or not the lack of relationship between health-related quality of life and these conditions remains for subjects at the severe end of the use/ abuse continuum and for those currently in treatment. Improvement in health-related quality of life is particularly important now that HIV is a chronic disease with the prospect of long-term survival. Comorbid mood disorders may result in poorer prognosis and response to treatment for persons with HIV, and the associated poor health-related quality of life may be one of the most important indicators of overall disease status. The high prevalence of mood disorders in persons with HIV emphasizes the need for coordinated general medical and mental health services and joint management plans for this population. Sufficient numbers of appropriately trained mental health professionals are needed at the clinical level to provide needed assessments and interventions to this at-risk population.

REFERENCES

- Wells KB, Stewart A, Hays RD, Burnam MA, Rogers W, Daniels M, Berry S, Greenfield S, Ware J: The functioning and well-being of depressed patients: results from the Medical Outcomes Study. JAMA 1989; 262:914–919
- Hays RD, Wells KB, Sherbourne CD, Rogers W, Spritzer K: Functioning and well-being outcomes of patients with depression compared with chronic general medical illnesses. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995; 52:11–19
- 3. Wells KB, Sturm R, Sherbourne CD, Meredith LS: Caring For Depression. Cambridge, Harvard University Press, 1996
- Sherbourne CD, Wells KB, Meredith LS, Jackson CA, Camp P: Comorbid anxiety disorder and the functioning and well-being of chronically ill patients of general medical providers. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996; 53:889–895
- Sherbourne CD, Wells KB, Judd LL: Functioning and well-being of patients with panic disorder. Am J Psychiatry 1996; 153: 213–218
- McKenna M, Chick J, Buxton M, Howlett H, Patience D, Ritson B: The SECCAT survey, I: the costs and consequences of alcoholism. Alcohol Alcohol 1996; 31:565–576
- Carretero MD, Burgess AP, Soler P, Soler M, Catalan J: Reliability and validity of an HIV-specific health-related quality-oflife measure for use with injecting drug users. AIDS 1996; 10: 1699–1705
- Atkinson JH Jr, Grant I, Kennedy CJ, Richman DD, Spector SA, McCutchan JA: Prevalence of psychiatric disorders among men infected with human immunodeficiency virus: a controlled study. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988; 45:859–864
- Brown GR, Rundell JR, McManis SE, Kendall SN, Zachary R, Temoshok L: Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in early stages of HIV infection. Psychosom Med 1992; 54:588–601
- Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, Nelson CB, Hughes M, Eshleman S, Wittchen HU, Kendler KS: Lifetime and 12month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994; 51:8–19
- Williams JB, Rabkin JG, Remien RH, Gorman JM, Ehrhardt AA: Multidisciplinary baseline assessment of homosexual men with and without human immunodeficiency virus infection, II:

standardized clinical assessment of current and lifetime psychopathology. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991; 48:124–130

- Hays RD, Prince-Embury S, Chen H-Y: RAND-36 Health Status Inventory. San Antonio, The Psychological Corporation, 1998
- Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Depression Guidelines Panel: Depression in Primary Care, vol 2. Rockville, MD, US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, AHCPR Publication 93-0551, 1993
- Barlow DH, Lehman CL: Advances in the psychosocial treatment of anxiety disorders: implications for national health care. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1996; 53:727–735
- 15. Bien TH, Miller WR, Tonigan JS: Brief interventions for alcohol problems: a review. Addiction 1993; 88:315–335
- Bell DC, Richard AJ, Dayton CA: Effect of drug user treatment on psychosocial change: a comparison of in-treatment and out-of-treatment cocaine users. Subst Use Misuse 1996; 31: 1083–1100
- Kaslow RA, Ostrow DG, Detels R, Phair JP, Polk BF, Rinaldo CR Jr: The Multicenter AIDS Cohort Study: rationale, organization, and selected characteristics of the participants. Am J Epidemiol 1987; 126:310–318
- Smith D: The HIV Epidemiology Research Study, HIV Out-Patient Study, and the Spectrum of Disease Studies. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1998; 17(suppl 1):S17–S19
- Hellinger FJ, Fleishman JA, Hsia DC: AIDS treatment costs during the last months of life: evidence from the ACSUS. Health Serv Res 1994; 29:569–581
- Crystal S, Sambamoorthi U: Functional impairment trajectories among persons with HIV disease: a hierarchical linear models approach. Health Serv Res 1996; 31:469–488
- Ganz PA, Schag CA, Kahn B, Petersen L: Assessing the quality of life of HIV infected persons: clinical and descriptive information from studies with the HOPES. Psychology and Health 1994; 9:93–110
- Holmes WC, Bix B, Meritz M, Turner J, Hutelmyer C: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and quality of life: the potential impact of axis I psychiatric disorders in a sample of 95 HIV seropositive men. Psychosom Med 1997; 59:187–192
- Bozzette SA, Berry SH, Duan N, Frankel MR, Leibowitz AA, Lefkowitz D, Emmons CA, Senterfitt JW, Berk ML, Morton SC, Shapiro MF: The care of HIV-infected adults in the United States: HIV Cost and Services Utilization Consortium. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:1897–1904
- Kessler R, Andrews G, Mroszek D, Ustun B, Wittchen H: The World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short-Form (CIDI-SF). Geneva, WHO, 1998
- World Health Organization: Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) Core Version 2.1 Interviewer's Manual. Geneva, WHO, 1997
- Hays RD, Spritzer KL, McCaffrey D, Cleary PD, Collins R, Sherbourne C, Wu AW, Bozzette S, Shapiro M, Cunningham W, Eggan F, Crystal S, Fleishman J: The HIV Cost & Services Utilization Study (HCSUS) Measures of Health-Related Quality of Life. Santa Monica, Calif, RAND, 1998
- Stewart AL, Sherbourne CD, Hays RD, Wells KB, Nelson EC, Kamberg CJ, Rogers WH, Berry SH, Ware JE Jr: Summary and discussion of MOS measures, in Measuring Functioning and Well-Being: The Medical Outcomes Study Approach. Edited by AL Stewart, JE Ware Jr., Durham, NC, Duke University Press, 1992, pp 345–372
- Mosier CI: On the reliability of a weighted composite. Psychometrika 1943; 8:161–168
- Cunningham WE, Rana HM, Shapiro MF, Hays RD: Reliability and validity of self-report CD4 counts in persons hospitalized with HIV disease. J Clin Epidemiol 1997; 50:829–835
- Brick JM, Kalton G: Handling missing data in survey research. Stat Methods Med Res 1996; 5:215–238
- Hochberg Y: A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. Biometrika 1998; 75:800–802
- Kish L, Frankel MR: Inference from complex samples. J Royal Statistical Society Bull 1974; 36:1–37

- 33. Kessler RC, Zhao S, Katz SJ, Kouzis AC, Frank RG, Edlund M, Leaf P: Past-year use of outpatient services for psychiatric problems in the National Comorbidity Survey. Am J Psychiatry 1999; 156:115–123
- 34. Broadhead WE, Blazer DG, George LK, Tse CK: Depression, disability days, and days lost from work in a prospective epi-

- demiologic survey. JAMA 1990; 264:2524–2528 35. Von Korff M, Ormel J, Katon W, Lin EH: Disability and depression among high utilizers of health care: a longitudinal analysis. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992; 49:91-100
- Goldberg D: Identifying psychiatric illness among general medical patients. Br Med J 1985; 291:161–162



May 13-18, 2000