## Article

# Impact of Lamotrigine and Lithium on Weight in Obese and Nonobese Patients With Bipolar I Disorder

Charles L. Bowden, M.D.

Joseph R. Calabrese, M.D.

Terence A. Ketter, M.D.

Gary S. Sachs, M.D.

Robin L. White, M.S.

Thomas R. Thompson, M.D.

**Objective:** This study assessed weight changes in a large cohort of patients with bipolar disorder who were treated with randomly assigned maintenance monotherapies.

**Method:** A post hoc analysis was conducted to assess the effects of lamotrigine, lithium, and placebo administration on body weight in obese and nonobese patients with bipolar disorder from two double-blind, placebo-controlled, 18month studies.

**Results:** Mean changes in weight among obese patients (N=155) at week 52 were

-4.2, +6.1, and -0.6 kg with lamotrigine, lithium, and placebo, respectively (lamotrigine versus lithium and lithium versus placebo). Among nonobese patients (N= 399), mean changes in weight (kg) at week 52 were -0.5, +1.1, and +0.7 with lamotrigine, lithium, and placebo, respectively, with no significant differences among groups.

**Conclusions:** Obese patients with bipolar I disorder lost weight while taking lamotrigine and gained weight while taking lithium.

(Am J Psychiatry 2006; 163:1199-1201)

**P** atients with bipolar disorder are nearly twice as likely as those in the general population to be obese (1). Clinically significant body weight gain (defined in the literature as a  $\geq$ 5% change from baseline or 5 kg) (2) has been documented in approximately one-fourth to two-thirds of patients in studies with lithium, anticonvulsants, and atypical antipsychotics (3–6). Evidence-based treatment guidelines consider drug effects on body weight as important in selecting pharmacotherapy for bipolar disorder (6).

Lamotrigine, which is indicated for the maintenance therapy of bipolar I disorder and for the treatment of epilepsy, was associated with stable body weight in both clinical trials of epilepsy and bipolar disorder in which patients were not selected with respect to baseline body weight (7, 8). This analysis was undertaken to examine the effects of long-term treatment with lamotrigine, lithium, or placebo on weight in obese and nonobese patients with bipolar I disorder.

## Method

Data from two 18-month maintenance studies designed to compare the safety and efficacy of lamotrigine or lithium with those of placebo in adults (age  $\geq$ 18 years) with bipolar I disorder were combined for analysis (9). In an 8- to 16-week open-label preliminary phase, lamotrigine was titrated to a target of 200 mg/ day (minimum dosage of 100 mg/day). Other psychotropic medications needed to treat acute symptoms were discontinued before a 76-week double-blind, random assignment phase. For this post hoc report, changes in weight from day 1 of the random assignment phase were analyzed for patients receiving lamotrigine in dosages of 100 mg/day to 400 mg/day and summarized by body mass index category: obese (body mass index  $\geq$ 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and nonobese (body mass index <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) (10). All patients who

Am J Psychiatry 163:7, July 2006

received at least one dose of study medication (N=556) were included in this analysis.

Mean changes in weight during the randomly assigned treatment period were analyzed with a mixed-model repeated-measures analysis. Beyond 52 weeks of random treatment assignment, a high frequency of patients withdrew from the studies because of interventions for mood episodes, adverse events, and other reasons. Therefore, only data up to 52 weeks of randomly assigned treatment were included in the analysis. The initial model included treatment, study, body mass index category on the first day of randomly assigned treatment, ≥7% weight change during the preliminary phase, and gender as covariates. The final models consisted of treatment, study, and  $\geq 7\%$  weight change during the preliminary phase. All comparisons were performed in a two-sided manner at an alpha level of 0.05. Differences in weight change between groups were tested with an F value. Differences in baseline disease characteristics were tested by using analysis of covariance with adjustment for study.

### Results

A total of 155 obese patients and 399 nonobese patients received at least one dose of study medication during the randomly assigned phase. The obese patients had earlier ages of onset for depression than the nonobese patients (mean=21.8, SD=10.9, versus mean=24.1, SD=12.4, respectively) (F=4.01, df=1,546, p<0.05) and reported a greater number of manic mood episodes in their lifetimes (mean=11.0, SD=17.7, versus mean=7.3, SD=8.7, respectively) (F=11.64, df=1,544, p=0.001). Other baseline characteristics were similar between treatment groups (data not shown).

The majority of the patients (N=145, 92% obese; N=374, 94% nonobese) had been treated with at least one medication for bipolar disorder before study entry. The most common prestudy treatments for bipolar disorder included lithFIGURE 1. Covariate-Adjusted Weight Change in Obese Patients and Nonobese Patients Treated With Lamotrigine, Lithium, or Placebo During Random Treatment Assignment<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Among obese patients, significant differences (p<0.05) in mean weight change during random treatment assignment were observed between lamotrigine and placebo at weeks 20, 36, and 44<sup>d</sup>; between lamotrigine and lithium at weeks 12, 20, 28, 36, 44, and 52<sup>c</sup>; and between lithium and placebo at weeks 28, 36, 44, and 52.<sup>b</sup> Data are from a mixed-model repeated-measures analysis with adjustment for treatment, study, and 7% weight change during the preliminary treatment phase.

ium (N=87, 60% obese; N=231, 62% nonobese), benzodiazepines (N=68, 47% obese; N=206, 55% nonobese), antipsychotics (N=61, 42% obese; N=192, 51% nonobese), and valproate (N=76, 52% obese; N=124, 33% nonobese). The total dose of lamotrigine was mean=248.6 mg/day (SD=87.4) in the obese patients and mean=245.3 mg/day (SD=85.5) in the nonobese patients. The corresponding doses for lithium were mean=838.8 mg/day (SD=281.4) and mean=844.2 mg/day (SD=231.8). In the lithium group, serum lithium levels during the random assignment phase were mean=0.68 meq/liter (SD=0.17) in the obese patients and mean=0.71 (SD=0.16) in the nonobese patients.

Among the obese patients, significant (p<0.05) decreases in weight were observed for those taking lamotrigine compared with placebo at weeks 20, 36, and 44 and for those taking lamotrigine compared with lithium at weeks 12, 20, 28, 36, 44, and 52 (Figure 1). Significant increases in weight were observed for those taking lithium compared with placebo at weeks 28, 36, 44, and 52. Among the nonobese patients, no significant differences in mean weight change were observed among groups at any time during the random assignment phase.

### Discussion

To the authors' knowledge, this analysis is the first to assess the effects of lamotrigine, lithium, and placebo on weight in a group of obese and nonobese patients with bipolar disorder. In the obese patients with bipolar I disorder, maintenance therapy with lamotrigine was associated with moderate weight loss, whereas maintenance therapy with lithium was associated with marked weight gain. In contrast, weight did not change in the nonobese patients, regardless of treatment group. These data are consistent with prospective and retrospective evaluations of the effects of lamotrigine on weight in patients with epilepsy (7).

Differences in weight between those taking lithium and those taking placebo were not statistically significant until week 28, which suggests that weight gain is a relatively late-developing correlate of lithium therapy. Unexpectedly for lithium, weight gain was essentially limited to a group of patients with preexisting obesity. One possible explanation is that certain individuals who are susceptible to obesity in general are also susceptible to lithium-induced weight gain. Better characterization of such risk factors or, conversely, protective factors might allow use of lithium without resultant weight gain in appropriately selected patients.

The differential effects of lithium in obese and nonobese patients should be interpreted cautiously. Lithium was the most frequent prior treatment used, and many patients in the study may have experienced lithium-associated weight gain before study entry. Thus, this study may have underestimated weight gain with lithium.

The results should also be interpreted in the context of the post hoc nature of the analyses and the fact that the studies were not prospectively designed to examine change in weight as a primary outcome. The post hoc analysis plan provided for use of data through the time at which observations were sufficient to comply with assumptions for the underlying statistical models. Data were truncated at 52 weeks because of patient attrition, which was larger for the lithium-treated patients than for the lamotrigine-treated patients. Random assignment yielded similar weight distribution across treatment groups at baseline. Covariates, which can confound the primary outcome, were used in the analyses to mitigate differential effects across groups.

In conclusion, the obese patients with bipolar I disorder lost weight while taking lamotrigine and gained weight while taking lithium. The nonobese patients did not gain weight, regardless of treatment group.

Received Sept. 22, 2005; revision received Feb. 15, 2006; accepted March 14, 2006. From the Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio; Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine and University Hospitals of Cleveland, Cleveland; Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, Calif.; Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston; and GlaxoSmithKline, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Bowden, Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Dr., San Antonio, TX 78229-3900; bowdenc@uthscsa.edu (e-mail).

Funded by GlaxoSmithKline.

The authors thank Jane Saiers, Ph.D., for help writing the article.

#### References

- Fagiolini A, Kupfer DJ, Houck PR, Novick DM, Frank E: Obesity as a correlate of outcome in patients with bipolar I disorder. Am J Psychiatry 2003; 160:112–117
- 2. Foster D: Gain and loss in weight, in Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine, 14th ed. Edited by Fauci S. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1998, pp 244–246

- Nemeroff CB: Safety of available agents used to treat bipolar disorder: focus on weight gain. J Clin Psychiatry 2003; 64:532– 539
- Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, McElroy SL, Gyulai L, Wassef A, Petty F, Pope HG Jr, Chou JC, Keck PE Jr, Rhodes LJ, Swann AC, Hirschfeld RM, Wozniak PJ: A randomized, placebo-controlled 12-month trial of divalproex and lithium in treatment of outpatients with bipolar I disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2000; 57: 481–489
- 5. American Diabetes Association, American Psychiatric Association, American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, North American Association for the Study of Obesity: Consensus development conference on antipsychotic drugs and obesity and diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004; 27:596–601
- Suppes T, Dennehy EB, Hirschfeld RMA, Altshuler LL, Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, Crismon ML, Ketter TA, Sachs GS, Swann AC: The Texas implementation of medication algorithms: update to the algorithms for treatment of bipolar I disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2005; 67:870–886
- Devinsky O, Vuong A, Hammer A, Barrett PS: Stable weight during lamotrigine therapy: a review of 32 studies. Neurology 2000; 54:973–975
- Sachs G, Bowden C, Calabrese JR, Ketter T, Thompson T, White R, Bentley B: Effects of lamotrigine and lithium on body weight during maintenance treatment of bipolar I disorder. Bipolar Disord 2006; 8:175–181
- Goodwin GM, Bowden CL, Calabrese JR, Grunze H, Kasper S, White R, Greene P, Leadbetter R: A pooled analysis of 2 placebo-controlled 18-month trials of lamotrigine and lithium maintenance in bipolar I disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2004; 65: 432–441
- Physical status: the use and interpretation of anthropometry: report of a WHO Expert Committee. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser 1995; 854:1–452